

MODULI SPACES OF p -DIVISIBLE GROUPS

EVA VIEHMANN

ABSTRACT. We study the global structure of moduli spaces of quasi-isogenies of p -divisible groups introduced by Rapoport and Zink. We determine their dimensions and their sets of connected components and of irreducible components. If the isocrystals of the p -divisible groups are simple, we compute the cohomology of the moduli space. As an application we determine which moduli spaces are smooth.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let k be a perfect field of characteristic p and $W = W(k)$ its ring of Witt vectors. Let σ be the Frobenius automorphism on k as well as on W . By Nilp_W we denote the category of schemes S over $\text{Spec}(W)$ such that p is locally nilpotent on S . Let $\overline{S}$ be the closed subscheme of S that is defined by the ideal sheaf $p\mathcal{O}_S$. Let $\mathbb{X}$ be a p -divisible group over k with rational Dieudonné module (N, F) . We assume that $\mathbb{X}$ is decent (see [RZ], 2.14), i. e. N is generated by elements x satisfying an equation $F^s x = p^r x$ for some integers $s > 0$ and r . Note that this condition is always satisfied if k is algebraically closed.

We consider the functor

$$\mathcal{M} : \text{Nilp}_W \rightarrow \text{Sets},$$

which assigns to $S \in \text{Nilp}_W$ the set of isomorphism classes of pairs (X, ρ) , where X is a p -divisible group over S and $\rho : \mathbb{X}_{\overline{S}} = \mathbb{X} \times_{\text{Spec}(k)} \overline{S} \rightarrow X \times_S \overline{S}$ is a quasi-isogeny. Two pairs (X_1, ρ_1) and (X_2, ρ_2) are isomorphic if $\rho_1 \circ \rho_2^{-1}$ lifts to an isomorphism $X_2 \rightarrow X_1$. This functor is representable by a formal scheme $\mathcal{M}$, which is locally formally of finite type over $\text{Spf}(W)$ (see [RZ], Thm. 2.16). Let $\mathcal{M}_{\text{red}}$ be its underlying reduced subscheme, that is the reduced subscheme of $\mathcal{M}$ defined by the maximal ideal of definition. The irreducible components of $\mathcal{M}_{\text{red}}$ are projective varieties over $\text{Spec}(k)$ ([RZ], Prop. 2.32).

These moduli spaces and their generalisations for moduli problems of type (EL) or (PEL) serve to analyse the local structure of Shimura varieties which have an interpretation as moduli spaces of abelian varieties. In [RZ] they are used to prove a uniformization theorem for Shimura varieties along Newton strata. Mantovan (see [Ma]) computes the cohomology of certain (PEL) type Shimura varieties in terms of the cohomology of Igusa varieties and of the corresponding (PEL) type Rapoport-Zink spaces. In [F], Fargues shows that the cohomology of basic unramified Rapoport-Zink spaces realises local Langlands correspondences.

For p -divisible groups whose rational Dieudonné module is simple, the moduli spaces have been studied by de Jong and Oort in [JO]. They show that the connected components are irreducible and determine their dimension. In the general case very little is known besides the existence theorem. This paper is directed towards a better understanding of the global structure of $\mathcal{M}_{\text{red}}$.

We now state our main results.

Let $\mathbb{X} = \mathbb{X}_{\text{m}} \times \mathbb{X}_{\text{bi}} \times \mathbb{X}_{\text{et}}$ be the decomposition of $\mathbb{X}$ into its multiplicative, bi-infinitesimal, and étale part. To formulate the result about the set of connected components we exclude the trivial case $\mathbb{X}_{\text{bi}} = 0$.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14L05, 14K10 (Primary) 14G35 (Secondary).

Theorem A. *Let $\mathbb{X}$ be non-ordinary. Then*

$$\pi_0(\mathcal{M}_{\text{red}}) \cong (GL_{\text{ht}(\mathbb{X}_{\text{m}})}(\mathbb{Q}_p)/GL_{\text{ht}(\mathbb{X}_{\text{m}})}(\mathbb{Z}_p)) \times (GL_{\text{ht}(\mathbb{X}_{\text{et}})}(\mathbb{Q}_p)/GL_{\text{ht}(\mathbb{X}_{\text{et}})}(\mathbb{Z}_p)) \times \mathbb{Z}$$

and the connected components are also rationally connected.

Next we consider the set of irreducible components of $\mathcal{M}_{\text{red}}$. From now on we assume that k is algebraically closed. Let (N, F) be the rational Dieudonné module of $\mathbb{X}$ and

$$J = \{g \in GL(N) \mid g \circ F = F \circ g\}.$$

There is an action of J on $\mathcal{M}_{\text{red}}$. We choose a decomposition $N = \bigoplus_{j=1}^l N_j$ with N_j simple of slope $\lambda_j = m_j/(m_j + n_j)$ with $(m_j, n_j) = 1$ and $\lambda_j \leq \lambda_{j'}$ for $j < j'$. Let $M_0 \subset N$ be the lattice associated to a minimal p -divisible group (see [O2] or Remark 4.3).

Theorem B. (i) *The action of J on the set of irreducible components of $\mathcal{M}_{\text{red}}$ is transitive and induces a bijection between this set and $J/(J \cap \text{Stab}(M_0))$.*

(ii) *$\mathcal{M}_{\text{red}}$ is equidimensional with*

$$(1.1) \quad \dim \mathcal{M}_{\text{red}} = \sum_j \frac{(m_j - 1)(n_j - 1)}{2} + \sum_{j < j'} m_j n_{j'}.$$

In [O3], Oort defines an almost product structure (that is, up to a finite morphism) on Newton strata of moduli spaces of abelian varieties. It is given by an isogeny leaf and a central leaf for the p -divisible group. The dimension of the isogeny leaf is the same as that of the corresponding $\mathcal{M}_{\text{red}}$. He announces a joint paper with Chai, in which they prove a dimension formula for central leaves (compare [O3], Remark 2.8). The dimension of the Newton polygon stratum itself is known from [O1]. Then the dimension of $\mathcal{M}_{\text{red}}$ can also be computed as the difference of the dimensions of the Newton polygon stratum and the central leaf.

Let $G = GL_h$ over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ where $h = \text{ht}(\mathbb{X})$. Let B be the Borel subgroup of lower triangular matrices. Let $\nu = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l)$ be the Newton vector associated to (N, F) . Here each λ_j occurs $m_j + n_j$ times. Let $\mu = (0, \dots, 0, 1, \dots, 1)$ with multiplicities $\dim \mathbb{X}$ and $h - \dim \mathbb{X}$. Let ρ be the half-sum of the positive roots. Note that J has an interpretation as the set of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -valued points of an algebraic group. Denote by $\text{rk}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ the dimension of a maximal $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -split subtorus. Let $\text{def}_G(F) = \text{rk}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} G - \text{rk}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} J$, which is equal to $h - l$. Then one can reformulate (1.1) as

$$(1.2) \quad \dim \mathcal{M}_{\text{red}} = \langle \rho, \mu - \nu \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \text{def}_G(F).$$

Let G be a split connected reductive group over a finite extension $\mathbf{F}$ of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ or $\mathbb{F}_p[[t]]$. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be the ring of integers in $\mathbf{F}$ and let $K = G(\mathcal{O})$. Let L be the completion of the maximal unramified extension of $\mathbf{F}$ and let σ be the Frobenius of L over $\mathbf{F}$. Let μ be a conjugacy class of one-parameter subgroups of G and $b \in B(G, \mu)$ (compare [Ra], 5). Let ε^μ be the image of p or $t \in G(\mathbf{F})$ under μ . Let

$$(1.3) \quad X_\mu(b)_K = \{g \in G(L)/K \mid g^{-1}b\sigma(g) \in K\varepsilon^\mu K\}$$

be the generalised affine Deligne-Lusztig set associated to μ and b . There are two cases where it is known that $X_\mu(b)_K$ is the set of $\mathbb{F}$ -valued points of a scheme, where $\mathbb{F}$ is the residue field of $\mathcal{O}_L$. The first case is that $\mathbf{F} = \mathbb{Q}_p$ and that $X_\mu(b)_k$ is the set of k -valued points of a Rapoport-Zink space of type (EL) or (PEL). In our case choose $\mathbf{F} = \mathbb{Q}_p$, $G = GL_h$ and choose an isomorphism $N \cong \text{Quot}(W)^h$ such that M_0 corresponds to the lattice generated by the standard basis. Let μ be as above. We write $F = b\sigma$ with $b \in G$. Then a bijection is given by

$$\begin{aligned} X_\mu(b)_K &\rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\text{red}}(k) \\ g &\mapsto gM_0. \end{aligned}$$

2

The second case is that $\mathbf{F}$ is a function field. In this case, the dimension of the generalised affine Deligne-Lusztig variety has been determined in [GHKR], [Vi]. The formula for the dimension is completely analogous to (1.2).

If the isocrystal of $\mathbb{X}_{\text{bi}}$ is not simple, Theorems A and B imply that the connected components of the moduli space are not irreducible and thus not smooth. Now assume that the isocrystal of $\mathbb{X}_{\text{bi}}$ is simple of slope $m/(m+n)$. Then the connected components are irreducible and projective. By $\mathcal{M}_{\text{red}}^0$ we denote the connected component of the identity in the moduli space.

Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, \dots\}$ with $(m, n) = 1$ be as above. A normalised cycle is a $m+n$ -tuple of integers $B = (b_0, \dots, b_{m+n-1})$ with $b_0 > b_i$, $b_{m+n-1} + m = b_0$, $\sum_i b_i = \sum_i i$ and $b_{i+1} \in \{b_i + m, b_i - n\}$ for all i (compare [JO], 6). There are only finitely many such cycles. Let $B^+ = \{b_i \in B \mid b_i + m \in B\}$ and $B^- = \{b_i \mid b_i - n \in B\}$. Then $B = B^+ \sqcup B^-$. For $j \in \mathbb{N}$ let $d(j)$ be the number of cycles B such that $\mathcal{V}(B) = \{(d, i) \mid b_d \in B^+, b_i \in B^-, b_i < b_d\}$ has j elements.

Theorem C. *Let $\mathbb{X}$ be bi-infinitesimal and let its isocrystal be simple. Let m, n and $d(j)$ be as above. Let $l \neq p$ be prime. Then $H^{2j+1}(\mathcal{M}_{\text{red}}^0, \mathbb{Q}_l) = 0$ for all j and $H^{2j}(\mathcal{M}_{\text{red}}^0, \mathbb{Q}_l)$ is a successive extension of $d(j)$ copies of $\mathbb{Q}_l(-j)$.*

This description uses a paving of $\mathcal{M}_{\text{red}}^0$ by affine spaces which resembles the description of the geometric points in [JO], 5. As an application we show

Theorem D. *Let $\mathbb{X}$ be a p -divisible group over k . Then $\mathcal{M}_{\text{red}}^0$ is smooth if and only if one of the following holds. Either $\dim \mathcal{M}_{\text{red}}^0 = 0$ or the isocrystal N of $\mathbb{X}_{\text{bi}}$ is simple of slope $2/5$ or $3/5$. In this last case, $\mathcal{M}_{\text{red}}^0 \cong \mathbb{P}^1$.*

The condition $\dim \mathcal{M}_{\text{red}}^0 = 0$ holds if and only if $\mathbb{X}$ is ordinary or the isocrystal of $\mathbb{X}_{\text{bi}}$ is simple of slope $m/(m+n)$ with $\min\{m, n\} = 1$.

The moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}$ are special cases of affine Deligne-Lusztig sets which have a scheme structure. It would be interesting to generalise our results to more general of these affine Deligne-Lusztig sets, in particular to other Rapoport-Zink spaces of type (EL) or (PEL).

Acknowledgement. I am grateful to M. Rapoport for introducing me to these problems and for his interest and advice. I thank Th. Zink for his interest in my work and T. Wedhorn for many helpful discussions. Furthermore, I thank the referee for helpful comments.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Review of methods	4
2.1. Witt vectors	4
2.2. Dieudonné modules	4
2.3. Displays	4
3. Connected Components	5
4. Irreducible Components	7
4.1. Statement of the Theorem	7
4.2. Definition of the subscheme $\mathcal{S}_1$	9
4.3. K -valued points of $\mathcal{S}_1$	10
4.4. Irreducible subvarieties of $\mathcal{S}_1$	15
4.5. The general case	17
5. Cohomology	18
5.1. A paving of $\mathcal{M}_{\text{red}}$ for N simple	18
5.2. Application to smoothness	22
References	25

2. REVIEW OF METHODS

Let R be a commutative ring of characteristic $p > 0$.

2.1. Witt vectors. Let $W(R)$ be the ring of Witt vectors of R . The Frobenius operator $\sigma : R \rightarrow R$ with $\alpha \mapsto \alpha^p$ induces an operator $W(R) \rightarrow W(R)$ which we also denote by σ . We will also write a^σ instead of $\sigma(a)$.

Let $a \in R$. By $[a] = (a, 0, \dots) \in W(R)$ we denote the Teichmüller representative of a . This defines a multiplicative embedding $R \rightarrow W(R)$.

Remark 2.1. Let $a = (a_0, a_1, \dots), b = (0, \dots, 0, b_n, b_{n+1}, \dots) \in W(R)$ and $\lambda \in R$. Then

$$(2.1) \quad a + b = (a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_n + b_n, c_{n+1}, \dots)$$

$$(2.2) \quad [\lambda]b = (0, \dots, 0, \lambda^{p^n} b_n, d_{n+1}, \dots)$$

with $c_i, d_i \in R$ for $i \geq n+1$. Assume that $b_n \in R^\times$ and $-a_n b_n^{-1} = \lambda^{p^n}$ for some $\lambda \in R$. Then from (2.1) and (2.2) we get that

$$a + [\lambda]b = (a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}, 0, c_{n+1}, \dots)$$

with $c_i \in R$.

Let $w_0 : W(R) \rightarrow R$ be the Witt polynomial with $(a_0, a_1, \dots) \mapsto a_0$.

2.2. Dieudonné modules. The Dieudonné modules used in this paper to describe p -divisible groups over perfect fields are always the corresponding covariant Dieudonné modules.

Let

$$(2.3) \quad \mathcal{D}(R) = W(R)[F, V] / (FV = VF = p, F\alpha = \alpha^\sigma F, \alpha V = V\alpha^\sigma)$$

be the Dieudonné ring of R . Then each element $A \in \mathcal{D}(R)$ has a normal form as a finite sum

$$A = \sum_{i,j \geq 0} V^i x_{ij} F^j$$

with $x_{ij} \in W(R)$. If $R = K$ is a perfect field, A can also be written as a possibly infinite sum

$$(2.4) \quad A = \sum_{i,j \geq 0} [a_{ij}] V^i F^j$$

with $a_{ij} \in K$ and $|i - j|$ bounded.

Let M be a Dieudonné module over a perfect field k of characteristic p and N its rational Dieudonné module. For a k -algebra R denote

$$\begin{aligned} M_R &= M \otimes_{W(k)} W(R) \\ N_R &= N \otimes_{\text{Quot}(W(k))} W(R)[1/p]. \end{aligned}$$

A lattice in N which is also a Dieudonné module is called a Dieudonné lattice.

2.3. Displays. To fix notation we give a summary of some definitions and results of [Z] on displays of p -divisible groups.

Let R be an excellent p -adic ring and let p be nilpotent in R .

Definition 2.2. A *display over R* is a quadruple $\mathcal{P} = (P, Q, F, V^{-1})$, where P is a finitely generated projective $W(R)$ -module, $Q \subseteq P$ is a submodule and F and V^{-1} are σ -linear maps, $F : P \rightarrow P$ and $V^{-1} : Q \rightarrow P$, such that the following properties are satisfied:

- (i) Let I_R be the ideal in $W(R)$ defined by the condition that the first Witt polynomial w_0 vanishes. Then $I_R P \subseteq Q \subseteq P$ and there exists a decomposition $P = L \oplus T$ into a direct sum of $W(R)$ -modules such that $Q = L \oplus I_R T$. It is called a normal decomposition.
- (ii) $V^{-1} : Q \rightarrow P$ is a σ -linear epimorphism.

(iii) For $x \in P$ and $w \in W(R)$ we have $V^{-1}(^Vwx) = wFx$ where $^V\cdot : W(R) \rightarrow W(R)$ is the Verschiebung.

Besides, a nilpotence condition for V is required, see [Z], Def. 11.

Example 2.3. If M is the Dieudonné module of a formal p -divisible group $\mathbb{X}$ over a perfect field k , then (M, VM, F, V^{-1}) is a display over k . We refer to it as the display associated to M . In this case a normal decomposition is obtained as follows. We choose representatives $w_1, \dots, w_m$ in VM of a basis of the k -vector space VM/pM and set $L = \langle w_1, \dots, w_m \rangle_{W(k)}$. Similarly, we choose representatives $v_1, \dots, v_n$ of a basis of M/VM and set $T = \langle v_1, \dots, v_n \rangle_{W(k)}$.

If R is an excellent local ring or if R/pR is of finite type over a field, there is an equivalence of categories between the category of displays over R and the category of p -divisible formal groups over $\text{Spec}(R)$ ([Z], Thm. 103).

To the base change of p -divisible groups corresponds a base change for displays. More precisely, let S be another excellent ring and $\varphi : R \rightarrow S$ a morphism. Then for any display $\mathcal{P} = (P, Q, F, V^{-1})$ over R there is an associated display

$$\mathcal{P}_S = (P_S, Q_S, F_S, V_S^{-1})$$

over S with $P_S = W(S) \otimes_{W(R)} P$, called the base change of $\mathcal{P}$ with respect to φ . We call the second component of the base change Q_S , although in general, we only have $Q_S \supseteq W(S) \otimes_{W(R)} Q$. For a definition of the base change see [Z], Def. 20.

Definition 2.4. An *isodisplay* over R is a pair $(\mathcal{I}, F)$ where $\mathcal{I}$ is a finitely generated projective $W(R) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ -module and $F : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}$ is a σ -linear isomorphism.

Let $\mathcal{P} = (P, Q, F, V^{-1})$ be a display over R . Then the pair $(P \otimes \mathbb{Q}, F)$, where F is the extension to $P \otimes \mathbb{Q}$, is an isodisplay over R .

Let $\mathbb{X}$ be a p -divisible group over k and N its rational Dieudonné module. Let R be a k -algebra of finite type and let $\mathcal{P} = (P, Q, F, V^{-1})$ be a display over R with $P \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong N_R$. Then by [Z], Prop. 66, this isomorphism induces a quasi-isogeny between $\mathbb{X}_R$ and the p -divisible group corresponding to $\mathcal{P}$.

3. CONNECTED COMPONENTS

In this section we determine the set of connected components of $\mathcal{M}_{\text{red}}$. By $\mathcal{M}_{\text{red}}^0$ we denote the connected component of $(\mathbb{X}, \text{id})$ in $\mathcal{M}_{\text{red}}$.

Let $\mathbb{X} = \mathbb{X}_{\text{m}} \times \mathbb{X}_{\text{bi}} \times \mathbb{X}_{\text{et}}$ be the decomposition of $\mathbb{X}$ into its multiplicative, bi-infinitesimal, and étale part. The moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{X}_{\text{m}})$ and $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{X}_{\text{et}})$ corresponding to $\mathbb{X}_{\text{m}}$ and $\mathbb{X}_{\text{et}}$ are discrete. Assume that $\mathbb{X}$ is multiplicative or étale. There is a basis of N such that $F = p^\alpha \sigma$ with $\alpha \in \{0, 1\}$. Let K be a perfect field and a k -algebra. Recall that we set $h = \text{ht}(\mathbb{X})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{X})(K) &\cong \{g \in GL_h(\text{Quot}(W(K)))/GL_h(W(K)) \mid g^{-1}\sigma(g) \in GL_h(W(K))\} \\ &\cong \{g \in GL_h(\text{Quot}(W(K)))/GL_h(W(K)) \mid g = \sigma(g)\} \\ &\cong GL_h(\mathbb{Q}_p)/GL_h(\mathbb{Z}_p) \end{aligned}$$

independently of K . We define

$$(3.1) \quad \Delta = \begin{cases} \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{X}_{\text{m}}) \times \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{X}_{\text{et}}) \times \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } \mathbb{X}_{\text{bi}} \text{ is nontrivial} \\ \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{X}_{\text{m}}) \times \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{X}_{\text{et}}) & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Let $S \in \text{Nilp}_W$ and let $\rho : \mathbb{X}_{\overline{S}} \rightarrow X_{\overline{S}}$ be a quasi-isogeny where X is a p -divisible group over S . From [Me], Lemma II.4.8 we get a morphism $X \rightarrow X_{\text{et}} \rightarrow S$, such that $X_{\text{et}} \rightarrow S$ is étale, as well as a quasi-isogeny $\rho_{\text{et}} : \mathbb{X}_{\text{et}, \overline{S}} \rightarrow X_{\text{et}, \overline{S}}$, functorially in ρ . This defines a morphism

$$\kappa_{\text{et}} : \mathcal{M}_{\text{red}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{X}_{\text{et}}).$$

By duality one also obtains a morphism

$$\kappa_m : \mathcal{M}_{\text{red}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{X}_m).$$

Finally, the morphism $\text{ht} : \mathcal{M}_{\text{red}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ maps a quasi-isogeny to its height. Let

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \kappa & : \mathcal{M}_{\text{red}} \rightarrow \Delta \\ \kappa & = \begin{cases} (\kappa_m, \kappa_{\text{et}}, \text{ht}) & \text{if } \mathbb{X}_{\text{bi}} \text{ is nontrivial} \\ (\kappa_m, \kappa_{\text{et}}) & \text{else.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.1. *κ identifies Δ with the set of rationally connected components of $\mathcal{M}_{\text{red}}$.*

This follows from Lemma 3.2 and Proposition 3.3. The theorem implies that the action of J on $\pi_0(\mathcal{M}_{\text{red}})$ is transitive. Especially, all connected components are mutually isomorphic.

Lemma 3.2. *κ is surjective.*

Proof. It is enough to show that for nontrivial $\mathbb{X}_{\text{bi}}$ there is a quasi-isogeny $\mathbb{X}_{\text{bi},K} \rightarrow X$ of any given height over some algebraically closed field K . There is a quasi-isogeny ρ from $\mathbb{X}_{\text{bi},K}$ to a product of groups that are up to isogeny simple. Thus it is enough to show the statement for a bi-infinitesimal group that is simple up to isogeny. Especially, its dimension and its height are coprime. Let $M \subset N$ be the lattice corresponding to $(\mathbb{X}, \text{id})$. Then the lattice $p^a V^b(M)$ corresponds to a k -valued point (X, ρ) of $\mathcal{M}_{\text{red}}$ with $\text{ht}(\rho) = ah + b \cdot \dim \mathbb{X}$. Thus for every given integer we may choose a and $b \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\text{ht}(\rho)$ is equal to that integer. $\square$

Proposition 3.3. *Let K over k be a perfect field and $(X, \rho), (X', \rho')$ two K -valued points of $\mathcal{M}_{\text{red}}$ with $\kappa((X, \rho)) = \kappa((X', \rho'))$. Then the two points are in the same connected component of $\mathcal{M}_{\text{red}}$.*

For the proof we need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.4. *Assume that $\mathbb{X}$ is bi-infinitesimal. Let $M \subset N_K$ be a Dieudonné lattice and*

$$v_1, v_2 \in (F^{-1}M \cap V^{-1}M) \setminus M.$$

Then $\langle M, v_1 \rangle$ and $\langle M, v_2 \rangle$ are Dieudonné lattices. There is a morphism $\mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\text{red}}$ mapping 0 and 1 to the K -valued points corresponding to $\langle M, v_1 \rangle$ and $\langle M, v_2 \rangle$, respectively.

Here $\langle M, v \rangle$ denotes the $W(K)$ -module generated by M and v .

Proof. We may assume that $\langle M, v_1 \rangle \neq \langle M, v_2 \rangle$. We define a quasi-isogeny of p -divisible groups over $\text{Spec}(K[t])$ such that $\langle M, v_1 \rangle$ and $\langle M, v_2 \rangle$ are the lattices corresponding to the specialisations at $t = 1$ and $t = 0$, respectively. To do this we describe the corresponding subdisplay (P', Q', F, V^{-1}) of the isodisplay $N_{K[t]}$ of $\mathbb{X}_{K[t]}$. We use the notation of 2.3. Let

$$(3.3) \quad T = \langle Vv_1, Vv_2, w_1, \dots, w_{n-2} \rangle$$

$$(3.4) \quad L = \langle pv_1, pv_2, x_1, \dots, x_{m-2} \rangle$$

be a normal decomposition of the display associated to M . As the classes of Vv_1 and Vv_2 in M/VM are linearly independent over K , we can choose such w_i, x_i that the elements on the right hand sides of (3.3) and (3.4) are representatives of bases of the K -vector spaces T/pT and L/pL . We now set

$$T' = \langle [t]^\sigma \otimes v_1 + [1-t]^\sigma \otimes v_2, 1 \otimes (Vv_1 - Vv_2), 1 \otimes w_1, \dots, 1 \otimes w_{n-2} \rangle_{W(K[t])}$$

$$L' = \langle [t] \otimes Vv_1 + [1-t] \otimes Vv_2, p \otimes (v_1 - v_2), 1 \otimes x_1, \dots, 1 \otimes x_{m-2} \rangle_{W(K[t])}$$

and further $P' = L' + T'$ and $Q' = L' + I_{K[t]}T'$. Here $\langle \cdot \rangle_{W(K[t])}$ denotes the $W(K[t])$ -submodule of $N_{K[t]}$ generated by the elements in the brackets. We have

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} p^{-1}[t]^\sigma & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ p^{-1}[1-t]^\sigma & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & [t] & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & [1-t] & -1 \end{pmatrix} = p^{-1}([t] + [1-t])^\sigma([t] + [1-t]).$$

As $W(K[t])$ is complete with respect to its augmentation ideal, $[t] + [1-t]$ is a unit. Hence, the determinant is a unit in $W(K[t]) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. Thus $P' \otimes \mathbb{Q} = N_{K[t]}$ and $L' \cap T' = (0)$. To show that P' and Q' define a subdisplay it remains to verify that V^{-1} is a σ -linear epimorphism from Q' to P' . This follows from

$$V^{-1}([t] \otimes Vv_1 + [1-t] \otimes Vv_2) = [t]^\sigma \otimes v_1 + [1-t]^\sigma \otimes v_2.$$

The specialisations of this display for $t = 0$ and $t = 1$ are as desired. $\square$

Proof of Proposition 3.3. As K is a perfect field, we can decompose X and ρ into

$$\rho = (\rho_m, \rho_{bi}, \rho_{et}) : \mathbb{X}_{m,K} \times \mathbb{X}_{bi,K} \times \mathbb{X}_{et,K} \rightarrow X_m \times X_{bi} \times X_{et},$$

and similarly for ρ' . The morphism κ maps ρ to $(\rho_m, \rho_{et}, \text{ht}(\rho))$. The assumption implies $\rho_m = \rho'_m$, $\rho_{et} = \rho'_{et}$ and $\text{ht}(\rho) = \text{ht}(\rho')$. Assume that the proposition is proved for $\mathbb{X}_{bi}$. Then we can construct a quasi-isogeny over a connected base $S = \overline{S}$ with fibres ρ and ρ' by extending a quasi-isogeny with fibres ρ_{bi} and ρ'_{bi} on $\mathbb{X}_{bi,S}$ by the constant isogeny $(\rho_m \times \rho_{et})_S = (\rho'_m \times \rho'_{et})_S$ on $(\mathbb{X}_m \times \mathbb{X}_{et})_S$. Thus for the rest of the proof we may assume that $\mathbb{X} = \mathbb{X}_{bi}$.

From the two quasi-isogenies we get Dieudonné lattices $M, M' \subset N_K$ with $\text{vol}(M) = \text{vol}(M')$. We prove the proposition by induction on the length of $M'/M \cap M'$. If the length is 0, the lattices are equal and the statement is trivial. Let now $M' \neq M$. As $\mathbb{X}$ is bi-infinitesimal, both F and V are topologically nilpotent on M . As $M \cap M' \subsetneq M$, there is an element

$$(3.5) \quad v_1 \in M \setminus (FM + VM + M').$$

Let further $v' \in M' \setminus M$. Let i' be maximal with $F^{i'}v' \notin M$ and j' maximal with $V^{j'}F^{i'}v' = v_2 \notin M$. Then

$$(3.6) \quad v_2 \in M' \cap F^{-1}(M' \cap M) \cap V^{-1}(M' \cap M) \setminus M.$$

Let $\{v_1, x_1, \dots, x_l\}$ be a basis of the K -vector space $M/(FM + VM + (M' \cap M))$. We choose representatives of the x_i in M , which we also denote by x_i . Let $\widetilde{M}$ be the smallest $\mathcal{D}(K)$ -module containing $FM, VM, M' \cap M$, and all x_i . By the choice of the x_i we have $v_1 \notin \widetilde{M}$. As $\widetilde{M} \subset M$ we have $v_2 \notin \widetilde{M}$. We also get $Fv_2, Vv_2 \in M' \cap M \subseteq \widetilde{M}$. Thus the tuple $(\widetilde{M}, v_1, v_2)$ satisfies the assumption of Lemma 3.4. Hence there is a morphism from the affine line to the moduli space whose image contains $\langle \widetilde{M}, v_1 \rangle = M$ and $\langle \widetilde{M}, v_2 \rangle$. As $M' \cap M \subseteq \widetilde{M}$ and $v_2 \in M' \setminus \widetilde{M}$, the length of $M'/(\langle \widetilde{M}, v_2 \rangle \cap M')$ is smaller than that of $M'/(M' \cap M)$. Thus the assertion follows from the induction hypothesis. $\square$

4. IRREDUCIBLE COMPONENTS

From now on we assume that k is algebraically closed.

4.1. Statement of the Theorem. To formulate the main theorem of this section we need some notation. We introduce a system of generators for the rational Dieudonné module N of $\mathbb{X}$. Let

$$(4.1) \quad N = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{j_0} N_{\lambda_j}$$

be the isotypic decomposition of N with N_{λ_j} isoclinic of slope λ_j and $\lambda_j < \lambda_{j'}$ for $j < j'$. There are coprime integers $0 \leq m_j \leq h_j$ with $h_j > 0$ and $\lambda_j = m_j/h_j$. Let $n_j = h_j - m_j$. Note that this convention is the opposite of the one made in [JO], meaning that we use n instead of m and vice versa. For each j we choose $a_j, b_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ with

$$(4.2) \quad a_j h_j + b_j m_j = 1.$$

We define additive maps $\pi_j : N \rightarrow N$ by

$$(4.3) \quad \pi_j|_{N_{\lambda_{j'}}} = \begin{cases} p^{a_j} F^{b_j} & \text{if } j' = j \\ \text{id}_{N_{\lambda_{j'}}} & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

and $\sigma_j : N \rightarrow N$ by

$$(4.4) \quad \sigma_j|_{N_{\lambda_{j'}}} = \begin{cases} V^{-m_j} F^{n_j} & \text{if } j' = j \\ \text{id}_{N_{\lambda_{j'}}} & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

There is an algebraic group $J = J_N$ over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ associated to the moduli problem and the isocrystal N , see [RZ], 1.12. For each $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -algebra R its R -valued points are defined as

$$J_N(R) = \{g \in GL(N \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} R) \mid g \circ F = F \circ g\}.$$

In the following we will write J or J_N instead of $J_N(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ to simplify the notation.

Remark 4.1. Let $g \in GL(N)$. Then $g \in J_N$ if and only if g commutes with all π_j and σ_j . Indeed, $g \in J_N$ if and only if $g = \bigoplus_j g|_{N_{\lambda_j}}$ and $g|_{N_{\lambda_j}} \in J_{N_{\lambda_j}}$ for all j . On N_{λ_j} we have $\pi_j = p^{a_j} F^{b_j}$ and $\sigma_j = p^{-m_j} F^{m_j+n_j}$, and for the other direction $F = \pi_j^{m_j} \sigma_j^{a_j}$.

Let

$$(4.5) \quad N_{\lambda_j} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{l_j} N_{j,i}$$

be a decomposition into simple isocrystals. Let $e_{ji0} \in N_{j,i} \setminus \{0\}$ with

$$(4.6) \quad F^{h_j} e_{ji0} = p^{m_j} e_{ji0}.$$

For $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ let

$$(4.7) \quad e_{jil} = \pi_j^l e_{ji0}.$$

By (4.6) the e_{jil} are independent of the choice of a_j and b_j in (4.2). Besides,

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{aligned} e_{j,i,l+h_j} &= \pi_j^{l+h_j} (e_{ji0}) \\ &= \pi_j^l p^{h_j a_j} F^{h_j b_j} e_{ji0} \\ &= \pi_j^l p^{1-m_j b_j} F^{h_j b_j} e_{ji0} \\ &= p e_{jil} \end{aligned}$$

and analogously

$$(4.9) \quad F(e_{jil}) = e_{j,i,l+m_j},$$

$$(4.10) \quad V(e_{jil}) = e_{j,i,l+n_j},$$

$$(4.11) \quad \sigma_{j'}(e_{jil}) = e_{jil}$$

for $1 \leq j' \leq j_0$. The e_{jil} with $0 \leq l < h_j$ form a basis of $N_{j,i}$ over $\text{Quot}(W(k))$.

Let $K \supseteq k$ be a perfect field. For $a \in K$ let $[a] \in W(K)$ be the Teichmüller representative as in 2.1. By (4.8) each $v \in N_K$ can be written as

$$(4.12) \quad v = \sum_{j=1}^{j_0} \sum_{i=1}^{l_j} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} [a_{jil}] e_{jil}$$

with $a_{jil} \in K$ and $a_{jil} = 0$ for l small enough.

Definition 4.2. (i) Let $M_0 \subset N$ be the lattice generated by the e_{jil} with $l \geq 0$.

(ii) For a lattice M in some sub-isocrystal $\tilde{N} \subseteq N$ let

$$(4.13) \quad \text{vol}_{\tilde{N}}(M) = \lg((M_0 \cap \tilde{N})/(M_0 \cap M)) - \lg(M/(M_0 \cap M)).$$

If $\tilde{N} = N$ we write vol instead of vol_N .

Remark 4.3. (i) $\pi_j(M_0) \subseteq M_0$ and $\sigma_j(M_0) \subseteq M_0$ for all j , and $\text{vol}(M_0) = 0$.

(ii) We have $M_0 = \bigoplus_{i,j} M_0 \cap N_{j,i}$ and $\text{End}(M_0 \cap N_{j,i})$ is a maximal order in $\text{End}(N_{j,i})$. Thus M_0 is the lattice associated to a minimal p -divisible group in the sense of Oort, compare [O2]. Besides, $J \cap \text{Stab}(M_0)$ is the set of units in a maximal order of the semi-simple algebra $J = \text{End}(N, F)$.

Using this notation we can formulate the main result of this section.

Theorem 4.4. (i) *There is a bijection between the set of irreducible components of $\mathcal{M}_{\text{red}}$ and $J/(J \cap \text{Stab}(M_0))$.*

(ii) *$\mathcal{M}_{\text{red}}$ is equidimensional with*

$$\dim \mathcal{M}_{\text{red}} = \sum_j l_j \frac{(m_j - 1)(n_j - 1)}{2} + \sum_{(j,i) < (j',i')} m_j n_{j'},$$

where the pairs (j, i) are ordered lexicographically.

The theorem shows that the action of J on the set of irreducible components is transitive. Hence all irreducible components are isomorphic.

To prove the theorem, we first define an open and dense subscheme $\mathcal{S}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{\text{red}}$. It is enough to prove the corresponding statements for $\mathcal{S}_1$. We attach to each lattice M corresponding to an element of $\mathcal{S}_1(k)$ the smallest lattice containing M which corresponds to a minimal p -divisible group. These lattices form a single J -orbit, and the map leads to a morphism $\mathcal{S}_1 \rightarrow J/(J \cap \text{Stab}(M_0))$. We show that the inverse image in $\mathcal{S}_1$ of each element of J is irreducible and of the dimension claimed in the theorem.

We assume until Section 4.5 that $\mathbb{X}$ is bi-infinitesimal. The general case is discussed in Section 4.5.

4.2. Definition of the subscheme $\mathcal{S}_1$.

Definition 4.5. Let $\mathcal{S}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{\text{red}}$ be the open subscheme defined by the following condition. An R -valued point (X, ρ) of $\mathcal{M}_{\text{red}}$ lies in $\mathcal{S}_1$ if the display (P, Q, F, V^{-1}) over R of X has the property that the R -module $P/(Q + F(P))$ is locally free of rank 1. Here, $(Q + F(P))$ denotes the $W(R)$ -submodule of P generated by $F(P)$ and Q .

Remark 4.6. As we assumed $\mathbb{X}$ to be bi-infinitesimal, the rank of $P/(Q + F(P))$ is always positive. Thus $\mathcal{S}_1$ is open.

Let $K \supseteq k$ be a perfect field and let $M \subset N_K$ be the lattice associated to $x \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{red}}(K)$. Recall that the a -invariant $a(M)$ of a Dieudonné lattice M over K is defined as the dimension of the K -vector space $M/(FM + VM)$. Thus the point x lies in $\mathcal{S}_1$ if and only if $a(M) = 1$. Assume that this is the case. As F and V are topologically nilpotent on M , there is a $v \in M$ such that M is the $\mathcal{D}(K)$ -submodule of N_K generated by v . Note that the a -invariant can also

be defined without using the Dieudonné module: If X is a p -divisible group with Dieudonné module M , then $a(M) = a(X)$ where $a(X) = \dim \operatorname{Hom}_K(\alpha_p, X)$.

Lemma 4.7. *The open subscheme $\mathcal{S}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{\text{red}}$ is dense.*

Proof. Let X_0 be the p -divisible group of a K -valued point in $\mathcal{M}_{\text{red}} \setminus \mathcal{S}_1$. By Proposition 2.8 of [O1], there exists a deformation of X_0 with constant Newton polygon such that the a -invariant at the generic fibre is 1. By [OZ], Cor. 3.2 we get a corresponding deformation of the quasi-isogeny after a suitable base change preserving the generic fibre. $\square$

4.3. K -valued points of $\mathcal{S}_1$. Let $K \supseteq k$ be a perfect field. In this section we classify the K -valued points of $\mathcal{S}_1$ by introducing a normal form for the corresponding lattices in N_K . We will write $N_{j,i}$ instead of $(N_{j,i})_K$.

Lemma 4.8. *Let $M \subset N_K$ be the lattice associated to a K -valued point of $\mathcal{S}_1$ and v a generator of M as $\mathcal{D}(K)$ -submodule of N_K as in Remark 4.6. Let g be such that $v_p(\det(g))$ is maximal among the $g \in J$ with $M \subseteq gM_0$. Then*

$$(4.14) \quad v = \sum_{j=1}^{j_0} \sum_{i=1}^{l_j} \sum_{l \geq 0} [a_{jil}] g(e_{jil})$$

with $a_{jil} \in K$ and

$$(4.15) \quad \text{for each } j, \text{ the } a_{ji0} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq l_j \text{ are linearly independent over } \mathbb{F}_{p^{h_j}}.$$

Proof. We may assume that N is isoclinic, as otherwise we can write v as a sum of elements of the $(N_{\lambda_j})_K$ and show the claim for each summand separately. Assume that there is a nontrivial relation

$$(4.16) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{l_1} \alpha_i a_{1i0} = 0$$

with $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{h_1}}$. After permuting the simple summands of N_K we may assume that α_1 is nonzero. Then we may also assume that $\alpha_1 = -1$. We define $\delta \in GL(N_K)$ by

$$(4.17) \quad \delta(e_{1il}) = \begin{cases} e_{1,1,l+1} & \text{if } i = 1 \\ e_{1il} + [\alpha_i]^{\sigma^{lb_1}} e_{1,1,l} & \text{if } i \geq 2 \end{cases}$$

for $l \in \mathbb{Z}$. This map is well defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(pe_{1il}) &= \delta(e_{1,i,l+h_1}) \\ &= pe_{1il} + [\alpha_i]^{\sigma^{(l+h_1)b_1}} e_{1,1,l+h_1} \\ &= pe_{1il} + [\alpha_i]^{\sigma^{lb_1}} e_{1,1,l+h_1} \\ &= p\delta(e_{1il}) \end{aligned}$$

for $i > 1$. We also have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \circ F(e_{1il}) &= \delta(e_{1,i,l+m_1}) \\ &= e_{1,i,l+m_1} + [\alpha_i]^{\sigma^{(l+m_1)b_1}} e_{1,1,l+m_1} \\ &= e_{1,i,l+m_1} + [\alpha_i]^{\sigma^{lb_1+1-a_1h_1}} e_{1,1,l+m_1} \\ &= F(e_{1il} + [\alpha_i]^{\sigma^{lb_1}} e_{1,1,l}) \\ &= F \circ \delta(e_{1il}), \end{aligned}$$

for $i > 1$ and $\delta \circ F(e_{11l}) = F \circ \delta(e_{11l}) = e_{1,1,l+m_1+1}$, so $\delta \in J$. Besides, $v_p(\det(\delta)) = 1$. (4.14) and (4.16) imply that $v \in g \circ \delta(M_0)$. As v generates M , we have $M \subseteq g \circ \delta(M_0)$ in contradiction to the maximality of $v_p(\det(g))$. $\square$

Remark 4.9. Let g be as in the lemma and $h \in \text{Stab}(M_0) \cap J$. Then $g \circ h$ again satisfies the conditions of Lemma 4.8.

Let $M \subset N_K$ be a Dieudonné lattice. Let $P(M)$ be the smallest $\mathcal{D}(K)$ -submodule of N_K containing M with

$$\sigma_j(P(M)) \subseteq P(M)$$

and

$$\pi_j(P(M)) \subseteq P(M)$$

for all j . There exists a $c \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $M \subseteq p^c M_0$. As $\pi_j(M_0) \subseteq M_0$ and $\sigma_j(M_0) \subseteq M_0$ for all j , we get $M \subseteq P(M) \subseteq p^c M_0$. Hence $P(M)$ is also a lattice in N_K . As all π_j and σ_j commute with J , we have $P(gM) = gP(M)$ for all $g \in J$.

Lemma 4.10. *Let M be the Dieudonné lattice corresponding to a K -valued point of $\mathcal{S}_1$ and let g be as in Lemma 4.8. Then*

$$(4.18) \quad P(M) = gM_0.$$

Epecially, the class of g in $J/(\text{Stab}(M_0) \cap J)$ is uniquely determined by M .

Proof. The inclusion $P(M) \subseteq gM_0$ follows from $v \in gM_0$ and $P(gM_0) = gM_0$. As all π_j and σ_j commute with J we may assume $g = \text{id}$. On N_{λ_j} , the map π_j is elementwise topologically nilpotent, while it is the identity on each $N_{\lambda_{j'}}$ with $j' \neq j$. Thus $v \in P(M)$ implies that its image $v_j = \sum_{i,l} [a_{jil}] e_{jil}$ under the projection to $(N_{\lambda_j})_K$ is in $P(M)$ for each j . Thus we may assume that $N = N_{\lambda_1}$ is isoclinic. Then π_1 is topologically nilpotent on M_0 . Thus from Nakayama's lemma we see that $M_0 \subseteq P(M) + \pi_1(M_0)$ implies the desired inclusion $M_0 \subseteq P(M)$. To show $M_0 \subseteq P(M) + \pi_1(M_0)$ we consider the image of $P(M)$ in $M_0/\pi_1(M_0) \cong K^{l_1}$.

Note that the set of solutions of a nontrivial equation of the form $\sum_{l=0}^{l_1-1} c_l x^{\sigma^{h_1 l}}$ with $c_l \in K$ is an $\mathbb{F}_{p^{h_1}}$ -vector space. The degree of the polynomial shows that its dimension is less or equal $l_1 - 1$. As the l_1 elements $\sigma_1^{h_1 l}((a_{1i0})_i)$ for $0 \leq l \leq l_1 - 1$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{h_1}}$, they cannot satisfy a common equation of this form. Hence they are linearly independent over K as elements of $M_0/\pi_1(M_0)$. In other words, $v, \sigma_1(v), \dots, \sigma_1^{l_1-1}(v)$ is a basis of $M_0/\pi_1(M_0)$, and $M_0 \subseteq P(M) + \pi_1(M_0)$. $\square$

Theorem 4.11. *Let*

$$(4.19) \quad v = \sum_{j=1}^{j_0} \sum_{i=1}^{l_j} \sum_{l \geq 0} [a_{jil}] g(e_{jil}) \in N_K$$

with $a_{jil} \in K$ satisfying (4.15) and let $g \in J$. Let M the smallest $\mathcal{D}(K)$ -submodule of N_K containing v . Then

- (i) M is a lattice in N_K .
- (ii) The volume of M is of the form

$$(4.20) \quad \text{vol}(M) = v_p(\det(g)) + c,$$

with

$$(4.21) \quad c = \sum_j l_j \frac{(m_j - 1)(n_j - 1)}{2} + \sum_{(j,i) < (j',i')} m_j n_{j'},$$

where the pairs (j, i) are ordered lexicographically.

- (iii) Let $I = I(N) \subset \coprod_{j,i} \mathbb{N}$ with

$$(4.22) \quad \left(\coprod_{j,i} \mathbb{N} \right) \setminus I = \{(j, i, l) \mid l = am_j + bn_j + \sum_{(j',i') < (j,i)} m_{j'} n_{j'} \text{ for some } a, b \geq 0\}.$$

Then $|I| = c$ and $(1, 1, 0) \notin I$, but $(j, i, 0) \in I$ for $(j, i) \neq (1, 1)$. There is an element $w \in M$ such that

$$(4.23) \quad w = \sum_{(j,i)} \sum_{l \geq 0} [b_{jil}] g(e_{jil})$$

with (4.15) for b_{jil} instead of a_{jil} . Besides, $b_{110} = 1$, and $b_{jil} = 0$ if $(1, 1, 0) \neq (j, i, l) \notin I$. This element w is a generator of M as $\mathcal{D}(K)$ -module, and is called a normalised generator. It only depends on the choice of the representative $g \in [g] \in J/(J \cap \text{Stab}(M_0))$.

(iv) Let

$$(4.24) \quad x = \sum_{j,i,l} [b_{jil}] g(e_{jil}) \in M.$$

We order the set $\coprod_{j,i} \mathbb{N}$ lexicographically. Then the index of the first nonzero summand of x is not in I .

(v) For all $(j, i, l) \notin I$ there is an $x \in M$ as in (4.24) such that $[b_{jil}]$ is its first nonzero coefficient.

For the proof of the theorem we need two technical lemmas.

Lemma 4.12. Let $a, b, m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $an + bm > mn$ and $\lambda = m'/(m' + n') \in (0, 1)$. Then

$$an' + bm' > \min\{nm', mn'\}.$$

Proof. We may assume $0 < a \leq m$ and $b > 0$, because otherwise the implication is evident. If the claim were false, then $\lambda(n + a - b) \geq a$ and $\lambda(m + b - a) \leq m - a$. Especially, this implies $n + a - b > 0$. From our assumptions we get $m + b - a > 0$. Thus

$$a(m + b - a) \leq \lambda(m + b - a)(n + a - b) \leq (m - a)(n + a - b),$$

in contradiction to $an + bm > mn$. $\square$

Lemma 4.13. Let v and M be as in the theorem and assume $g = \text{id}$ and $a_{110} = 1$. Then there is an $A \in \mathcal{D}(K)$ of the form

$$A = F^{n_1} - V^{m_1} + \sum_{k > m_1 n_1} [\alpha_k] V^{a(k)} F^{b(k)}$$

with $\alpha_k \in K$ and the following properties:

- (i) For $k > m_1 n_1$, the exponents $a(k), b(k)$ are the unique positive integers with $-n_1 < a(k) - b(k) \leq m_1$ and $a(k)n_1 + b(k)m_1 = k$.
- (ii) $Av \in N' = \bigoplus_{(j,i) \neq (1,1)} N_{j,i}$.
- (iii) Av generates $M \cap N'$ as a Dieudonné lattice in N' .
- (iv) Let $g' \in J_{N'}$ with

$$g'(e_{jil}) = e_{j,i,l+m_1 n_j}.$$

Then

$$Av = \sum_{(j,i) \neq (1,1)} \sum_{l \geq 0} [a'_{jil}] g'(e_{jil}),$$

with $a'_{jil} \in K$ such that for each j all a'_{ji0} (with $1 \leq i \leq l_j$ if $j \neq 1$ and $2 \leq i \leq l_1$ if $j = 1$) are linearly independent over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{h_j}}$.

Proof. As $a_{110} = 1$ and $F^{n_1}(e_{110}) = V^{m_1}(e_{110}) = e_{1,1,m_1 n_1}$, we have

$$(4.25) \quad F^{n_1}v - V^{m_1}v = \sum_{l > m_1 n_1} [c_{11l}] e_{11l} + \sum_{(j,i) \neq (1,1)} \sum_{l \geq 0} [c_{jil}] e_{jil}$$

with $c_{jil} \in K$ for all j, i, l . For each $k > m_1 n_1$ choose $a(k)$ and $b(k)$ as in (i). Then

$$\begin{aligned} V^{a(k)} F^{b(k)} v &= \sum_{j,i} \sum_{l \geq 0} [a_{jil}^{\sigma^{b(k)} - a(k)}] e_{j,i,l+a(k)n_j+b(k)m_j} \\ &= e_{11k} + \sum_{l > k} [d_{11l}] e_{11l} + \sum_{(j,i) \neq (1,1)} \sum_{l \geq 0} [d_{jil}] e_{jil} \end{aligned}$$

with $d_{jil} \in K$. By Remark 2.1 we can inductively define $\alpha_k \in K$ for $k > m_1 n_1$ such that

$$(F^{n_1} - V^{m_1} + \sum_{k=m_1 n_1+1}^{k_0} [\alpha_k] V^{a(k)} F^{b(k)})(v)$$

is a linear combination of the e_{11l} with $l > k_0$ and of an element of N' . Thus the element

$$(4.26) \quad A = F^{n_1} - V^{m_1} + \sum_{k > m_1 n_1} [\alpha_k] V^{a(k)} F^{b(k)}$$

satisfies $Av \in N'$. As $a(k) - b(k)$ is bounded, we have $A \in \mathcal{D}(K)$.

Let $B = \sum_{a,b \geq 0} [\beta_{ab}] V^a F^b \in \mathcal{D}(K)$ with $\beta_{ab} \in K$ and $Bv \in M \cap N'$. We want to show that $B = CA$ for some $C \in \mathcal{D}(K)$. We assume $B \neq 0$. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that there exists a $\beta_{ab} \neq 0$ with $an_1 + bm_1 = k$ let

$$d_k(B) = \min\{a - b \mid an_1 + bm_1 = k, \beta_{ab} \neq 0\}$$

and

$$d^k(B) = \max\{a - b \mid an_1 + bm_1 = k, \beta_{ab} \neq 0\}.$$

Furthermore let

$$(4.27) \quad d(B) = \min\{d_k(B)\}$$

$$(4.28) \quad \tilde{d}(B) = \max\{d^k(B)\}$$

The existence of this minimum and maximum is equivalent to $B \in \mathcal{D}(K)$. Inductively we construct $C_k \in \mathcal{D}(K)$ with the following properties:

(a) The coefficient of $V^c F^d$ in the representation of $B - \sum_{k' \leq k} C_{k'} A$ as in (2.4) vanishes for all c, d with $cn_1 + dm_1 \leq k$.

(b) If there exists a $\beta_{ab} \neq 0$ with $an_1 + bm_1 = k$, then $d(C_k) \geq d(B)$ and $\tilde{d}(C_k) \leq \tilde{d}(B)$. Otherwise, $C_k = 0$.

(c) If $B - \sum_{k' \leq k} C_{k'} A \neq 0$ then

$$\begin{aligned} d(B) &\leq d(B - \sum_{k' \leq k} C_{k'} A) \\ \tilde{d}(B) &\geq \tilde{d}(B - \sum_{k' \leq k} C_{k'} A). \end{aligned}$$

If $C = \sum_{k \geq 0} C_k$ exists in $\mathcal{D}(K)$, then this implies $B = CA$. By replacing B by $B - \sum_{k' < k} C_{k'} A$ we may assume that k is the least integer such that there exist a, b with $an_1 + bm_1 = k$ and $\beta_{ab} \neq 0$. We want to show that $d_k(B) \neq d^k(B)$. Assume that $d_k(B) = d^k(B)$. Then there is only one $\beta_{a_0 b_0} \neq 0$ with $a_0 n_1 + b_0 m_1 = k$. Denote by p_1 the projection to $N_{1,1}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= B(p_1(v)) \\ &= [\beta_{a_0 b_0}] V^{a_0} F^{b_0} (p_1(v)) + \sum_{\{(a,b) \mid an_1 + bm_1 > k\}} [\beta_{ab}] V^a F^b (p_1(v)) \\ &= \sum_{l \geq 0} [\beta_{a_0 b_0} a_{11l}^{\sigma^{b_0} - a_0}] e_{1,1,l+k} + \sum_{\{(a,b) \mid an_1 + bm_1 > k\}} \sum_{l \geq 0} [\beta_{ab} a_{11l}^{\sigma^{b-a}}] e_{1,1,l+an_1+bm_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the coefficient of $e_{1,1,k}$ in the expression above is $[\beta_{a_0 b_0} a_{110}^{\sigma_{b_0} - a_0}] = [\beta_{a_0 b_0}]$. This implies $\beta_{a_0 b_0} = 0$, a contradiction. Thus $d^k(B) > d_k(B)$. Note that $m_1 + n_1$ divides $d^k(B) - d_k(B)$. Let a, b with $a - b = d^k(B)$ be the pair of indices realising the maximum. Let $C_{k,1} = [-\beta_{ab}]V^{a-m_1}F^b$. From $d^k(B) \geq d_k(B) + m_1 + n_1$ we see that $d_k(B) < a - b - m_1 < d^k(B)$ and that $d^k(B) > d^k(B - C_{k,1}A)$ and $d_k(B) \leq d_k(B - C_{k,1}A)$. Hence $d^k(B) - d_k(B) > d^k(B - C_{k,1}A) - d_k(B - C_{k,1}A)$. Using a second induction on this difference, we can construct C_k as a finite sum of such expressions $C_{k,1}$. The fact that each pair (a, b) occurs at most once in the construction of some C_k together with (b) implies that the sum $C = \sum_{k \geq 0} C_k$ exists in $\mathcal{D}(K)$. This proves (iii).

Now we want to show (iv). We have

$$\begin{aligned} Av &= F^{n_1}v - V^{m_1}v + \sum_{k > m_1 n_1} [\alpha_k] V^{a(k)} F^{b(k)} v \\ &= \sum_{(j,i) \neq (1,1)} \sum_{l \geq 0} \left(F^{n_1} [a_{jil}] e_{jil} - V^{m_1} [a_{jil}] e_{jil} + \sum_{k > m_1 n_1} [\alpha_k] V^{a(k)} F^{b(k)} [a_{jil}] e_{jil} \right) \\ &= \sum_{(j,i) \neq (1,1)} \sum_{l \geq 0} \left([a_{jil}^{\sigma_{n_1}}] e_{j,i,l+n_1 m_j} - [a_{jil}^{\sigma_{-m_1}}] e_{j,i,l+m_1 n_j} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{k > m_1 n_1} [\alpha_k a_{jil}^{\sigma_{b(k)} - a(k)}] e_{j,i,l+a(k)n_j+b(k)m_j} \right). \end{aligned}$$

For each j and i we determine the first nonvanishing coefficient of some e_{jil} . First we consider summands with $j = 1$ and $i > 1$. In this case $V^{a(k)} F^{b(k)} e_{1i0} = e_{1ik}$ with $k > m_1 n_1$. Thus a candidate for the first coefficient is that of $e_{1,i,m_1 n_1}$, namely $[a_{1i0}^{\sigma_{n_1}} - a_{1i0}^{\sigma_{-m_1}}]$. (Here we used Remark 2.1 to determine $w_0([a_{1i0}^{\sigma_{n_1}}] - [a_{1i0}^{\sigma_{-m_1}}])$.) As in the proof of Lemma 4.10 one sees that these coefficients are again linearly independent over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{h_1}}$. Now we consider summands with $j > 1$. From Lemma 4.12 and the ordering of the λ_j we get

$$a(k)n_j + b(k)m_j > \min\{n_1 m_j, m_1 n_j\} = m_1 n_j.$$

Thus the first nonzero coefficient is that of $e_{j,i,m_1 n_j}$, namely $a_{jio}^{\sigma_{-m_1}}$. For fixed j the a_{jio} were linearly independent over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{h_j}}$, hence the new first nonzero coefficients are again linearly independent. This proves (iv). $\square$

Corollary 4.14. *Let N be bi-infinitesimal and simple and $v \in N_K \setminus \{0\}$. Then $\text{Ann}(v) \subset \mathcal{D}(K)$ is a principal left ideal.*

Proof of Theorem 4.11. Both F and V commute with g . Thus $M = gM'$ where M' is generated by

$$g^{-1}v = \sum_{j,i} \sum_{l \geq 0} [a_{jil}] e_{jil}.$$

Hence we may assume that $g = \text{id}$.

Assertion (iii) is implied by (iv) and (v). We show that (i) and (ii) also follow from (iv) and (v). We consider the $\mathcal{D}(K)$ -modules

$$(4.29) \quad M^{j_0 i_0 l_0} = \langle M, \{e_{jil} \mid (j, i, l) \geq (j_0, i_0, l_0), l \geq 0\} \rangle_{W(K)}.$$

Then

$$M^{110} = M_0.$$

Using (v) one sees

$$M^{j_0, l_{j_0}, d} = M$$

where $d = \sum_{(j,i) \neq (j_0, l_{j_0})} m_j n_{j_0} + (m_{j_0} - 1)(n_{j_0} - 1)$. For $(j, i, l) < (j', i', l')$ we have $M^{jil} \supseteq M^{j'i'l'}$ with equality if and only if

$$I \cap \{(j_1, i_1, l_1) \mid (j, i, l) \leq (j_1, i_1, l_1) < (j', i', l')\} = \emptyset.$$

Indeed, by (iv) and (v) this is equivalent to the condition that for each (j_1, i_1, l_1) with $(j, i, l) \leq (j_1, i_1, l_1) < (j', i', l')$, there is already an element of M whose first nonzero coefficient has index (j_1, i_1, l_1) . As the colength of $M^{j,i,l+1}$ in M^{jil} is at most 1, this implies $\text{vol}(M) = |I| = c$.

We now prove (iv) and (v) using induction on $\sum_{j=1}^{j_0} l_j$, the number of simple summands of N_K .

By multiplying v with $[a_{110}^{-1}] \in W(K)^\times$ we may assume that $a_{110} = 1$. First we consider the case that N is simple. We have

$$V^a F^b v = e_{1,1,an_1+bm_1} + \sum_{l>0} [a_{11l}^{\sigma^{b-a}}] e_{1,1,l+an_1+bm_1}.$$

Thus for all l that can be written as $l = an_1 + bm_1$ with $a, b \geq 0$ there is an element in M of the form $e_{11l} + \sum_{l'>l} [b_{l'}] e_{11l'}$, proving (v). Let now $x \in M \setminus \{0\}$ and assume that

$$x = \sum_{l \geq 0} [b_{11l}] e_{11l}$$

such that (iv) is not satisfied for x . Let $[b_{11l_0}]$ with $(1, 1, l_0) \in I$ be the first nonvanishing coefficient. We also have a representation $x = \sum_{a,b \geq 0} [c_{a,b}] V^a F^b(v)$. Let (a_0, b_0) be a pair with $c_{a_0, b_0} \neq 0$ and minimal $a_0 n_1 + b_0 m_1$. Then $a_0 n_1 + b_0 m_1 \leq l_0$. As no $l > (m_1 - 1)(n_1 - 1)$ is in I , we get $a_0 n_1 + b_0 m_1 \leq (m_1 - 1)(n_1 - 1)$. Especially, (a_0, b_0) is the unique pair of nonnegative integers (a, b) with $an_1 + bm_1 = a_0 n_1 + b_0 m_1$. Hence the coefficient of $e_{1,1,a_0 n_1 + b_0 m_1}$ is the first nonzero coefficient of x , proving (iv).

Let now N be the sum of more than one simple summand. Let $p_1 : N_K \rightarrow N_{1,1}$ be the projection and

$$N' = \bigoplus_{(j,i) \neq (1,1)} N_{j,i}.$$

Note that $p_1(M)$ is the lattice in $N_{1,1}$ generated by $p_1(v)$. Thus the theorem applied to the simple isocrystal $N_{1,1}$ yields that each $x \in M \setminus (M \cap N')$ satisfies (iv), and that for each $(1, 1, l) \notin I$ there is an element $x \in M$ as in (v). We now consider elements of $M \cap N'$. Let $I(N')$ be the index set corresponding to N' as in (4.22), viewed as a subset of $\coprod_{(j,i) \neq (1,1)} \mathbb{N}$. Then one easily checks that

$$(4.30) \quad I \cap \coprod_{(j,i) \neq (1,1)} \mathbb{N} = \{(j, i, l) \mid (j, i, l - m_1 n_j) \in I(N')\}.$$

In Lemma 4.13 we proved that $M \cap N'$ is generated by Av for some $A \in \mathcal{D}(K)$ and determined the corresponding $g' \in J_{N'}$, which only shifts the last indices of the basis by $m_1 n_j$. Thus the induction hypothesis implies that there is an $x \in M \cap N'$ with $x = \sum_{(j,i) \neq (1,1)} [c_{jil}] e_{jil}$ and first nonzero coefficient $[c_{jil}]$ if and only if $(j, i, l - m_1 n_j) \notin I(N')$. Together with (4.30), this implies the theorem. $\square$

4.4. Irreducible subvarieties of $\mathcal{S}_1$. Let I be the index set defined in Theorem 4.11(iii). Denote the coordinates of a point in $\mathbb{A}_k^I$ by a_{jil} with $(j, i, l) \in I$. Let $U = U(N) \subseteq \mathbb{A}_k^I$ be the affine open subvariety defined by (4.15). Let $a_{110} = 1$. Then U is defined by the condition that for each j , the a_{ji0} for $1 \leq i \leq l_j$ have to be linearly independent over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{h_j}}$. We write $U = \text{Spec}(R)$.

For each $g \in J$ we want to define a morphism

$$\varphi_g : U \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_1.$$

For $g = \text{id}$ we describe the corresponding quasi-isogeny of p -divisible groups over U via the display of the p -divisible group. As J acts on $\mathcal{M}_{\text{red}}$ we can define φ_g for general g as the composition of φ_{id} and the action of g .

We may choose $\mathbb{X}$ inside its isogeny class in such a way that its Dieudonné module is M_0 . Let (P, Q, F, V^{-1}) be the base change of the display of $\mathbb{X}$ from k to R . Let

$$v = e_{110} + \sum_{(j,i,l) \in I} [\sigma^{\sum_{j,i} m_j}(a_{jil})] e_{jil} \in P$$

and

$$(4.31) \quad \tilde{T} = \langle v, Fv, \dots, F^{\sum_{i,j} n_j - 1} v \rangle_{W(R)}$$

$$(4.32) \quad \tilde{L} = \langle Vv, \dots, V^{\sum_{i,j} m_j} v \rangle_{W(R)}$$

as $W(R)$ -submodules of P . Let $\tilde{P} = \tilde{L} + \tilde{T}$ and $\tilde{Q} = I_R \tilde{T} + \tilde{L}$. We have to show that $(\tilde{P}, \tilde{Q}, F, V^{-1})$ is a display where F and V^{-1} are the restrictions of F and V^{-1} on P . By construction we have $I_R \tilde{P} \subset \tilde{Q} \subset \tilde{P}$ and $\tilde{P}$ and $\tilde{Q}$ are finitely generated $W(R)$ -modules. The results of the preceding section show that the reduction of $P/\tilde{P}$ in a K -valued point of U is torsion of some finite index which is bounded by a constant only depending on N and not on the specific point. Thus there is a power of p annihilating $P/\tilde{P}$, and $\tilde{P} \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong P \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. For dimension reasons, $\tilde{P}$ has to be free and $\tilde{L} \cap \tilde{T} = (0)$. Hence $\tilde{L}$ and $\tilde{T}$ form a normal decomposition. The third condition for a display and the nilpotence condition on V are satisfied because they were satisfied on P . We now determine the matrix associated to $F|_{\tilde{T}}$ and $V^{-1}|_{\tilde{L}}$ as in [Z], (9) to show that the image of F is again in $\tilde{P}$ and that $V^{-1} : \tilde{Q} \rightarrow \tilde{P}$ is a σ -linear epimorphism. The matrix is of the following form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 & * & 1 & & & \\ 1 & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & & & & \\ & \ddots & 0 & \vdots & & & 0 & \\ & & 1 & * & & & & \\ & & & * & 0 & 1 & & \\ 0 & & \vdots & \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \vdots & 0 & & \ddots & 1 & \\ & & * & & & & 0 & \end{pmatrix}$$

All columns except the one corresponding to $F^{\sum_{j,i} n_j - 1} v$ have exactly one nonzero entry, which is 1. We now have to show that the remaining column has entries in $W(R)$. We use induction on $\sum l_j$, the number of simple summands of N , to show the following property. Let $v = \sum_{j,i,l \geq 0} [b_{jil}] e_{jil} \in N_R$ for some R such that for each j all non-trivial linear combinations of its coefficients b_{jil} with coefficients in $\mathbb{F}_{p^{h_j}}$ are in $R^\times$ and that $b_{110} = 1$. Assume furthermore that the coefficients of v are in $\sigma^{\sum_{j,i} m_j}(R)$. Then

$$F^{\sum_{j,i} n_j} v = \sum_{0 < k < \sum_{j,i} n_j} \gamma_{-k} F^k v + \sum_{0 \leq k \leq \sum_{j,i} m_j} \gamma_k V^k v$$

with $\gamma_k \in W(R)$.

Let $A \in \text{Ann}(p_1(v)) \subseteq \mathcal{D}(R)$ of the same form as in Lemma 4.13. The construction for this over R is the same as over K . As we chose $a(k) - b(k) \leq m_1$, all coefficients of $(F^{n_1} - V^{m_1})(v)$ and $V^{a(k)} F^{b(k)}(v)$ are in $\sigma^{\sum_{(j,i) \neq (1,1)} m_j}(R)$. Thus the coefficients of A are also in $\sigma^{\sum_{(j,i) \neq (1,1)} m_j}(R)$.

We can write A in the form

$$(4.33) \quad A = \sum_{0 < k \leq n_1} \alpha_{-k} F^k + \sum_{0 \leq k \leq m_1} \alpha_k V^k$$

with $\alpha_k \in W(\sigma^{\sum_{(j,i) \neq (1,1)} m_j}(R))$ and $\alpha_{-n_1} = 1$. The last equation holds as $-n_1 < a(k) - b(k)$ for all k . Hence if N is simple, the equation $Av = 0$ gives the desired relation for $F^{n_1}v$. We now consider the case that N is not simple. As in the proof of Lemma 4.10, the linear independence condition on the coefficients of v implies the following similar condition for Av . For each j , all non-trivial linear combinations with coefficients in $\mathbb{F}_{p^{h_j}}$ of the first coefficients of the projections of Av on all $(N_{j,i})_R \subseteq N'_R$ are invertible in R . Especially the projection of Av on the second simple summand of N_R is nonzero, and its first nonzero coefficient $[\beta]$ is invertible. This implies that $[\beta^{-1}]Av$ is (up to an index shift as in Lemma 4.13(iv)) an element of N'_R satisfying the conditions needed to apply the induction hypothesis. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} F^{\sum_{(j,i) \neq (1,1)} n_j}([\beta^{-1}]Av) \\ = \sum_{0 < k < \sum_{(j,i) \neq (1,1)} n_j} \gamma_{-k} F^k([\beta^{-1}]Av) + \sum_{0 \leq k \leq \sum_{(j,i) \neq (1,1)} m_j} \gamma_k V^k([\beta^{-1}]Av) \end{aligned}$$

with $\gamma_k \in R$. Together with (4.33) this leads to the desired relation for $F^{\sum_{j,i} n_j}v$. Hence $F^{\sum_{j,i} n_j}v \in \tilde{P}$ and $(\tilde{P}, \tilde{Q}, F, V^{-1})$ is a display.

This display, together with the identity as isomorphism of isodisplays, induces a quasi-isogeny of p -divisible groups over U , that is a morphism $\varphi_{\text{id}} : U \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\text{red}}$. For all $g \in J$ let

$$\mathcal{S}(g) = \varphi_g(U) = g \circ \varphi_{\text{id}}(U).$$

As can be seen on K -valued points, the subvarieties $\mathcal{S}(g)$ and $\mathcal{S}(g')$ for $g, g' \in J$ are equal if and only if $[g] = [g']$ in $J/(J \cap \text{Stab}(M_0))$.

Remark 4.15. Let $M \subset N$ be a lattice and let $\mathcal{P} = (P, Q, F, V^{-1})$ be the display associated to an S -valued point of $\mathcal{M}_{\text{red}}$. We consider P as a submodule of N_S . Then the condition that P is contained in M_S is a closed condition on S .

Lemma 4.16. *For all $g \in J/(J \cap \text{Stab}(M_0))$, the subscheme $\mathcal{S}(g)$ is a connected component of $\mathcal{S}_1$.*

Proof. Let B be a set of representatives of $J/(J \cap \text{Stab}(M_0))$. Then the $\mathcal{S}(g)$ for $g \in B$ are disjoint and cover $\mathcal{S}_1$. This holds as it is true for their sets of K -valued points for every algebraically closed K , compare Lemma 4.10. The height of the quasi-isogeny is constant on each connected component of $\mathcal{M}_{\text{red}}$ and thus of $\mathcal{S}_1$. Let M be a lattice associated to a K -valued point of $\mathcal{S}_1$. Then $\text{vol}(M) - \text{vol}(P(M)) = c$ is a constant only depending on N . If $M \subseteq gM_0$ for some $g \in J$ with $v_p(\det(g)) = \text{vol}(P(M))$, then $P(M) = gM_0$. Thus $\mathcal{S}(g)(K)$ consists of the lattices M with $\text{vol}(M) = v_p(\det(g)) + c$ and $M \subseteq gM_0$. Hence $\mathcal{S}(g)$ is closed. The fact that $\mathcal{M}$ is locally formally of finite type implies that the disjoint union is locally finite. Thus the $\mathcal{S}(g)$ are also open. $\square$

4.5. The general case. Now we consider the case of general $\mathbb{X}$ over k , that is we do not assume that $\mathbb{X}$ is bi-infinitesimal. The results obtained for the set of irreducible components and the dimension in the bi-infinitesimal case also hold in this more general context. To see this, we again consider the set of K -valued points for an algebraically closed field K . Over K each quasi-isogeny $\rho : \mathbb{X}_K \rightarrow X$ splits into a product of quasi-isogenies between the étale, multiplicative, and bi-infinitesimal parts of $\mathbb{X}_K$ and X . The results of Section 3 show that the connected component of the point $x \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{red}}(K)$ corresponding to ρ is given by fixing the étale and multiplicative part of the quasi-isogeny and its height. Thus all points of one connected component may be classified by considering the bi-infinitesimal parts of the quasi-isogenies.

Quasi-isogenies corresponding to the irreducible subvarieties of $\mathcal{M}_{\text{red}}$ of Section 4.4 can be defined in this context as a product of a constant quasi-isogeny of the étale and multiplicative parts of $\mathbb{X}_K$ and the quasi-isogeny of Section 4.4 for the bi-infinitesimal part.

5. COHOMOLOGY

5.1. A paving of $\mathcal{M}_{\text{red}}$ for N simple. Let $\mathbb{X}$ be a bi-infinitesimal p -divisible group over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic p whose rational Dieudonné module N is simple. In this case we obtain from [JO], Thm. 5.11 that $\mathcal{M}_{\text{red}}^0$ is irreducible. Hence it is also projective (compare [RZ], Prop. 2.32).

In the following we simplify the notation by writing e_l instead of $e_{1,1,l}$ for the basis of N and $n = n_1$, $m = m_1$, and $h = m + n$. We pave $\mathcal{M}_{\text{red}}^0$ with affine spaces to compute its cohomology. This is inspired by a description of the geometric points of $\mathcal{M}_{\text{red}}$ in [JO]. Note however that we interchanged the roles of n and m with respect to the convention in de Jong and Oort's paper.

Let K be a perfect field over k . We recall a combinatorial invariant for K -valued points of $\mathcal{M}_{\text{red}}$ from [JO], 5. A subset $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ is called a *semimodule* if it is bounded below and satisfies $m + A \subseteq A$ and $n + A \subseteq A$. It is called *normalised* if $|\mathbb{N} \setminus A(M)| = |A(M) \setminus \mathbb{N}|$. One easily sees that there are only finitely many normalised semimodules. In fact, their number is $\binom{h}{m}/h$, see [JO], 6.3. For every semimodule A , there is a unique integer l such that $l + A$ is normalised. We call $l + A$ the normalisation of A . Each element of N_K can be uniquely written as $\sum_l [a_l]e_l$ with $a_l \in K$ and $a_l = 0$ for l small enough. We call the least $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $a_l \neq 0$ the *first index* of the element. Let $M \subset N_K$ be the lattice associated to $x \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{red}}(K)$. As M is a Dieudonné lattice,

$$(5.1) \quad A = A(M) = \{l \in \mathbb{Z} \mid l \text{ first index of some } v \in M\}$$

is a semimodule called the semimodule of x or M . From the definition of the volume we get

$$(5.2) \quad \text{vol}(M) = |\mathbb{N} \setminus A(M)| - |A(M) \setminus \mathbb{N}|.$$

We may assume that $\text{id}_{\mathbb{X}}$ corresponds to a lattice of volume 0. Then the semimodules of K -valued points of $\mathcal{M}_{\text{red}}^0$ are normalised.

Proposition 5.1. *For each normalised semimodule A there is a locally closed reduced subscheme $\mathcal{M}_A \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{\text{red}}^0$ which is defined by the property that for each perfect field K , the set $\mathcal{M}_A(K)$ consists of the points with semimodule A . The $\mathcal{M}_A$ are disjoint and cover $\mathcal{M}_{\text{red}}^0$.*

Proof. It is enough to show that for every normalised semimodule A there is an open subscheme $\mathcal{M}_{\leq A}$ of $\mathcal{M}_{\text{red}}^0$, such that for every perfect field K the set $\mathcal{M}_{\leq A}(K)$ consists of all points whose semimodules A' satisfy the following condition: There is a bijection $f : A' \rightarrow A$ with $f(a) \geq a$ for all a . A Dieudonné lattice M of volume 0 corresponds to an element of $\mathcal{M}_{\leq A}(K)$ if and only if for all $a \in A$, the length of $M/\langle e_{a+1}, e_{a+2}, \dots \rangle_{W(K)}$ is at least $|A \cap \mathbb{Z}_{\leq a}|$. Every normalised semimodule A' contains an element $a_0 \leq 0$. All $a > mn - m - n \geq a_0 + mn - m - n$ can be written as $a = a_0 + \alpha m + \beta n$ with $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$. Thus $a \in A'$ for all $a > mn - m - n$ and all normalised semimodules A' . Hence the condition above is an intersection of finitely many open conditions on $\mathcal{M}_{\text{red}}^0$. $\square$

We want to identify each $\mathcal{M}_A$ with an affine space. To do this we need further combinatorial invariants from [JO]. Let A be a semimodule. We arrange the h elements of $A \setminus (h + A)$ in the following way. Let b_0 be the largest element. For $i = 1, \dots, h - 1$ we choose inductively $b_i \in A \setminus (h + A)$ to be $b_{i-1} - n$ or $b_{i-1} + m$, depending on which of the elements lies in $A \setminus (h + A)$. Then $b_0 = b_{h-1} + m$. The tuple

$$(5.3) \quad B = B(A) = (b_0, \dots, b_{h-1})$$

is called the cycle of A . One can recover A as $A = \{b_i + lh \mid b_i \in B, l \geq 0\}$. This defines a bijection between the set of semimodules and the set of cycles, that is of h -tuples of integers b_i

satisfying $b_0 > b_i$, $b_{h-1} + m = b_0$ and $b_i \in \{b_{i-1} - n, b_{i-1} + m\}$ for all $i \neq 0$. The normalisation condition for semimodules is equivalent to the condition

$$(5.4) \quad \sum_i b_i = \frac{(h-1)h}{2}.$$

We split each cycle B in two parts:

$$(5.5) \quad B^+ = \{b_i \mid b_i + m \in B\}$$

$$(5.6) \quad B^- = \{b_i \mid b_i - n \in B\}.$$

Let

$$(5.7) \quad \mathcal{V}(B) = \{(d, i) \mid b_d \in B^+, b_i \in B^-, b_i < b_d\}$$

and

$$(5.8) \quad R = k[a_{d,i} \mid (d, i) \in \mathcal{V}(B)],$$

$$(5.9) \quad S = \text{Spec}(R) = \mathbb{A}_k^{\mathcal{V}(B)}.$$

We define a quasi-isogeny $\mathbb{X}_S \rightarrow X$ by describing the display of X as a subdisplay of the isodisplay N_R of $\mathbb{X}_S$. For each $b_i \in B$ we want to define an element $v_i \in N_R$ which has first index b_i and first coefficient 1 in all closed points of S . We want the v_i to satisfy the following relations:

$$(5.10) \quad v_0 = e_{b_0}$$

and

$$(5.11) \quad v_{i+1} = \begin{cases} Fv_i & \text{if } b_i, b_{i+1} \in B^+ \\ Fv_i + \sum_{(d,i+1) \in \mathcal{V}(B)} [a_{d,i+1}]v_d & \text{if } b_i \in B^+, b_{i+1} \in B^- \\ V^{-1}v_i & \text{if } b_i \in B^-, b_{i+1} \in B^+ \\ V^{-1}v_i + \sum_{(d,i+1) \in \mathcal{V}(B)} [a_{d,i+1}]v_d & \text{if } b_i, b_{i+1} \in B^-. \end{cases}$$

We set

$$v_i = \sum_{j=0}^{h-1} c_{i,j} e_{b_i+j}$$

with $c_{i,j} \in W(R)$ and write $c_{i,j} = (c_{i,j,l})_{l \in \mathbb{N}}$. Let

$$(5.12) \quad \varphi(j, l) = j + lh.$$

As φ is a bijection between $\{0, \dots, h-1\} \times \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbb{N}$, we may write $\tilde{c}_{i,\varphi(j,l)}$ instead of $c_{i,j,l}$. Let $\tilde{c}_{i,0} = 1$ for all i . Then in every point of S , the first index of v_i is b_i , and its first coefficient is 1. We define the $\tilde{c}_{i,\varphi}$ by induction on $\varphi(j, l)$, and for fixed φ by induction on i . For $\varphi > 0$ let $\tilde{c}_{0,\varphi} = 0$ to satisfy (5.10). If $b_{i+1} \in B^+$, (5.11) implies that $\tilde{c}_{i+1,\varphi} = \tilde{c}_{i,\varphi}^\sigma$. If $b_{i+1} \in B^-$, then $\tilde{c}_{i+1,\varphi}$ is equal to $\tilde{c}_{i,\varphi}^\sigma$ plus a polynomial in the $\tilde{c}_{i',\varphi'}$ with $\varphi' < \varphi$ and coefficients in R . Hence we can inductively define $\tilde{c}_{i,\varphi(j,l)} = c_{i,j,l} \in R$, and obtain $c_{i,j} = (c_{i,j,l}) \in W(R)$.

With these v_i let

$$\begin{aligned} L &= \langle v_i \mid b_i \in B^- \rangle_{W(R)} \\ T &= \langle v_i \mid b_i \in B^+ \rangle_{W(R)} \\ P &= L \oplus T \\ Q &= L \oplus I_R T. \end{aligned}$$

The first indices b_i of the v_i are pairwise non-congruent modulo h , hence the v_i are linearly independent over $W(R)[1/p]$ and $P \otimes Q = N_R$. To show that this defines a display over S , we have to verify that $F(P) \subseteq P$ and that $V^{-1}(Q)$ generates P . The only assertions that do not

immediately follow from (5.11) are $Fv_{h-1} \in P$ and $v_0 \in \langle V^{-1}(Q) \rangle_{W(R)}$. As $l \in A$ for all $l \geq b_0$, all those e_l are in P . As $b_{h-1} + m = b_0$, we have $Fv_{h-1} = \sum_{j=0}^{h-1} c_{h-1,j}^\sigma e_{b_0+j}$ with $w_0(c_{h-1,0}) = 1$. Therefore, $Fv_{h-1} \in P$, and $Fv_{h-1} = \sum_{i \in B} \delta_i v_i$ with $\delta_i \in W(R)$ and $w_0(\delta_0) = 1$. All v_i with $i > 0$ are in $\langle V^{-1}(Q) \rangle_{W(R)}$ and $Fv_{h-1} = V^{-1}(pv_{h-1})$. Thus also $v_0 \in \langle V^{-1}(Q) \rangle_{W(R)}$.

Let B be the cycle corresponding to a normalised semimodule A . Then this display induces a quasi-isogeny and thus a morphism

$$(5.13) \quad f_A : \mathbb{A}^{\mathcal{V}(B)} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_A.$$

Lemma 5.2. *Let R be an excellent local ring and a k -algebra. Let $x \in \mathcal{M}_A(R)$ and let $\mathcal{P} = (P, Q, F, V^{-1})$ be the corresponding display over R . Assume that for every $b_i \in B^+$ there is a $w_i^0 \in P$ and for every $b_i \in B^-$ a $w_i^0 \in Q$ with $w_i^0 = \sum_{j=0}^{h-1} c_{i,j}^0 e_{b_i+j}$ with $c_{i,j}^0 \in W(R)$ and the following additional properties. $w_0(c_{i,0}^0) = 1$ for all i and the w_i^0 generate P . Then there is a unique $\tilde{x} \in \mathbb{A}^{\mathcal{V}(B)}(R)$ with $f_A(\tilde{x}) = x$.*

Proof. We want to show that there exist unique $a_{d,i} \in R$ such that for the corresponding display $\mathcal{P}' = (P', Q', F, V^{-1})$ we have that $P' \subseteq P$ and $Q' \subseteq Q$. As x and $f_A((a_{d,i}))$ are in the same connected component of $\mathcal{M}$, the displays then have to be equal.

We show by induction on r that for each $b_i \in B^-$ there is a $w_i^r \in Q$ and for each $b_i \in B^+$ a $w_i^r \in P$ of the form $w_i^r = \sum_{j=0}^{h-1} c_{i,j}^r e_{b_i+j}$ with $c_{i,j}^r = (c_{i,j,l}^r) \in W(R)$ and the following property. The coefficients $c_{i,j,l}^r$ for $\varphi(j,l) \leq r$ are equal to those of the basis v_i of the display of a point of $\mathbb{A}^{\mathcal{V}(B)}$ which only depends on $\mathcal{P}$ and not on the chosen basis w_i^0 . The coordinate $a_{d,i}$ of the point of $\mathbb{A}^{\mathcal{V}(B)}$ will be determined in the step where $r = b_d - b_i$. Especially, the point is fixed after finitely many steps.

For $r = 0$ the claim follows from the assumptions of the lemma. As $b_0 + \mathbb{N} \subseteq n + A$, we can choose $w_0^r = e_{b_0} = v_0 \in Q$ for all r . Now suppose that the w_i^r are defined for some fixed r . We use a second induction on i to define

$$\tilde{w}_{i+1}^{r+1} = \begin{cases} Fw_i^{r+1} & \text{if } b_i \in B^+ \\ V^{-1}w_i^{r+1} & \text{if } b_i \in B^-. \end{cases}$$

From $w_i^{r+1} \in Q$ for $b_i \in B^-$ we obtain that $\tilde{w}_{i+1}^{r+1} \in P$ also for those i . If $b_{i+1} \in B^+$ let

$$w_{i+1}^{r+1} = \tilde{w}_{i+1}^{r+1}.$$

If $b_{i+1} \in B^-$, we now show how to modify $\tilde{w}_{i+1}^{r+1} \in P$ to obtain an element of Q . A basis of the free R -module $P/Q \cong T/I_R T$ is given by the w_d^r with $b_d \in B^+$. There is an element of Q with first index b_{i+1} . Thus there are unique $\alpha_{d,i+1}^{r+1} \in R$ with

$$(5.14) \quad w_{i+1}^{r+1} = \tilde{w}_{i+1}^{r+1} + \sum_{b_d > b_{i+1}, b_d \in B^+} [\alpha_{d,i+1}^{r+1}] w_d^r \in Q.$$

By the induction hypothesis, the coefficients $c_{i,j,l}^{r+1}$ of w_i^{r+1} with $\varphi(j,l) \leq r+1$ and the coefficients of all w_d^r with $\varphi(j,l) \leq r$ are uniquely defined by $\mathcal{P}$ and independent of the chosen w_i^0 . This implies $c_{i,j,l}^{r+1} = c_{i,j,l}^r$ for all j,l with $\varphi(j,l) \leq r$. Especially, $\alpha_{d,i+1}^{r+1} = a_{d,i+1}$ for all $b_d \leq b_{i+1} + r$. If $(d, i+1) \in \mathcal{V}(B)$ with $b_d - b_{i+1} = r+1$, then let $a_{d,i+1} = \alpha_{d,i+1}^{r+1}$. Then $a_{d,i+1}$ also only depends on $\mathcal{P}$. This defines w_i^{r+1} for all i and unique $a_{d,i}$ satisfying the condition above for $r+1$.

Each coefficient $c_{i,j,l}^r$ remains fixed after $\varphi(j,l)$ steps. Hence the sequences w_i^r converge in P , and their limits w_i are as desired. $\square$

Theorem 5.3. *Let A be a normalised semimodule. Then $f_A : \mathbb{A}^{\mathcal{V}(B)} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_A$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. If $K \supseteq k$ is a perfect field, each lattice corresponding to an element of $\mathcal{M}_A(K)$ has a basis satisfying the assumptions of Lemma 5.2. Hence $f_A(K) : \mathbb{A}^{\mathcal{V}(B)}(K) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_A(K)$ is a bijection.

We want to show that f_A is proper by verifying the valuation criterion. Let $x \in \mathcal{M}_A(k[[t]])$ and let x_η and x_0 be its generic and special point. Let $\tilde{x}_\eta \in \mathbb{A}^{\mathcal{V}(B)}(k((t)))$ be a point mapping to x_η . Let $\mathcal{P} = (P, Q, F, V^{-1})$ be the display of x . The $W(k[[t]])$ -module P is a submodule of $P_\eta = P \otimes_{W(k[[t]])} W(k((t)))$, the first component of the display $\mathcal{P}_\eta$ of x_η . As $\tilde{x}_\eta$ maps to x_η , we can describe $\mathcal{P}_\eta$ as generated by elements v_i as above. By Lemma 5.2, $x_0 \in \mathcal{M}_A(k)$ is also in the image of f_A . Hence we can choose generators v'_i of $\mathcal{P}$ which for $b_i \in B^-$ are in Q , and which modulo (t) reduce to the standard generators of the display of the inverse image of x_0 under f_A . Let y be the minimal element of B . As $v'_i \in N_{k[[t]]}$, there is an $a \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $v'_i \in \langle e_y, \dots, e_{y+h-1} \rangle_{W(k[[s]])}$ where $s^{p^a} = t$. In the following we consider x as a $k[[s]]$ -valued point of $\mathcal{M}_A$. The reduction of v'_i modulo (s) has first index b_i . As $x_\eta \in \mathcal{M}_A$, the index of the first nonzero coefficient of each v'_i is in A . Thus we can modify each v'_i by a linear combination of the v'_j with $b_j < b_i$ and coefficients in $W(k[[s]])$ which reduce to 0 modulo (s) such that the new first nonzero coefficient is that of e_{b_i} . Besides, we only have to modify the elements $v_i \in Q$ by other elements of Q . Therefore we may in addition assume that the first nonzero coefficient of v'_i has index b_i , and is 1. After passing to a larger a we may assume that $v'_i \in \langle e_{b_i}, \dots, e_{b_i+h-1} \rangle_{W(k[[s]])}$. By Lemma 5.2 for $w_i^0 = v'_i$ we obtain a unique point $\tilde{x}' = (b_{d,i}) \in \mathbb{A}^{\mathcal{V}(B)}(k[[s]])$ mapping to x . But as its generic point $\tilde{x}'_\eta$ maps to x_η , the uniqueness in Lemma 5.2 implies that $\tilde{x}'_\eta = \tilde{x}_\eta \in \mathbb{A}^{\mathcal{V}(B)}(k((t)))$. Hence $b_{d,i} \in k[[s]] \cap k((t)) = k[[t]]$, and x is in the image of $f_A(k[[t]])$.

Lemma 5.2 further implies that the tangent morphism of f_A is injective at every closed point. The theorem now follows since a morphism of reduced schemes of finite type over an algebraically closed field, which is finite, universally bijective, and whose tangent morphism is injective at every closed point is an isomorphism (compare [Vo], Lemma 5.13). $\square$

Using the paving of $\mathcal{M}_{\text{red}}^0$ by affine spaces and that $\mathcal{M}_{\text{red}}^0$ is projective, one obtains the following result about its cohomology.

Theorem 5.4. *Let $l \neq p$ be prime. Then $H^{2i+1}(\mathcal{M}_{\text{red}}^0, \mathbb{Q}_l) = 0$ and $H^{2i}(\mathcal{M}_{\text{red}}^0, \mathbb{Q}_l)$ is a successive extension of $d(i)$ copies of $\mathbb{Q}_l(-i)$ for all i . Here $d(i)$ is the number of normalised cycles B with $|\mathcal{V}(B)| = i$.*

Proposition 5.5. *(i) $d(0) = d((m-1)(n-1)/2) = 1$ for all m and n . If $m, n > 1$, also $d(1) = 1$.*

(ii) Let $\min\{m, n\} = 2$. Then $d(i) = 1$ for $0 \leq i \leq \dim \mathcal{M}_{\text{red}}^0$.

(iii) Let $\min\{m, n\} > 2$. Then $d((m-1)(n-1)/2 - 1) > 1$.

Proof. The equation $d((m-1)(n-1)/2) = 1$ is shown in [JO], 6. They also show that for a semimodule A , the dimension $|\mathcal{V}(B(A))|$ is bounded below by the number of positive integers s such that there exists an $a \in A$ with $a + s \notin A$ (see [JO], 6.12). Let A be a normalised semimodule with $|\mathcal{V}(B(A))| = 0$. Then $a \in A$ implies $a' \in A$ for all $a' > a$. Thus $A = \mathbb{N}$. One easily sees that this semimodule indeed leads to a zero-dimensional subscheme. Let now A be normalised with $|\mathcal{V}(B(A))| = 1$. Then $a \in A$ implies that there is at most one element of $\mathbb{Z} \setminus A$ that is larger than a . Analogously, for $a \notin A$ there is at most one element of A smaller than a . This leaves only $A = \{-1, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ as a candidate for a contribution to $d(1)$. It is a semimodule if and only if $m, n > 1$. Again one can see (using the combinatorics explained in [JO], 6) that $|\mathcal{V}(B(A))| = 1$.

To show (ii), we may assume that $m = 2$ and $n = 2l + 1$ for some l . Each normalised semimodule is of the form $A = A_i = (2\mathbb{N} - i) \cup (\mathbb{N} + i + 1)$ for some $i \in \{0, \dots, l\}$. The cycle

$B_i = B(A_i)$ is

$$(2l+2+i, i+1, i+3, \dots, 2l-i-1, 2l-i+1, -i, -i+2, \dots, 2l+i)$$

with $B^- = \{2l+2+i, 2l-i+1\}$. The element $2l+2+i$ is the largest element of B_i , so it does not contribute to $\mathcal{V}(B_i)$. The other element of B^- is smaller than the i elements $2l-i+2, \dots, 2l+i$ of B^+ . Hence $|\mathcal{V}(B_i)| = i$.

For (iii) we have to construct two normalised semimodules leading to subschemes of codimension 1. Assume that $m < n$, the other case is completely analogous. Let

$$(5.15) \quad A_1 = \{am + bn \mid a, b \geq 0\} \cup \{mn - m - n\}.$$

There are $(m-1)(n-1)/2$ natural numbers which cannot be written as $am + bn$ with $a, b \geq 0$, and $mn - m - n$ is the largest. Thus the lower bound on $|\mathcal{V}(A_1)|$ used in the proof of (i) shows that the codimension of the subscheme corresponding to the normalisation of A_1 is at most 1. But there is only one semimodule leading to a subscheme of codimension 0, and this is obtained by normalising $A_0 = \{am + bn \mid a, b \geq 0\}$ (see [JO], 6). Thus the normalisation of the semimodule A_1 leads to a subscheme of codimension 1. We now define a second subscheme of codimension 1. The cycle corresponding to A_0 is given by $B^+ = \{0, m, \dots, (n-1)m\}$ and $B^- = \{n, 2n, \dots, mn\}$. Let B_2^+ be the index set obtained from B^+ by replacing $(n-1)m$ by $(n-1)m - 2n$, and let B_2^- be obtained from B^- by replacing mn by $mn - m$ and $mn - n$ by $mn - m - n$. One can easily check that this defines a cycle. A pair of elements $(i, j) \in B^+ \times B^-$ with $i > j$ is then replaced by a pair in $B_2^+ \times B_2^-$. The pair $((n-1)m, mn - n)$ which is replaced by $(mn - m - 2n, mn - m - n)$ is the only pair with larger first entry which is replaced by a pair such that the first entry is smaller than the second. After normalising the cycle we get again a subscheme of codimension 1. The smallest element of A_1 and A_2 is 0. As $mn - m - 2n \in A_2 \setminus A_1$, the normalisations of the two semimodules are different. $\square$

5.2. Application to smoothness. In this section we show the following

Theorem 5.6. *Let $\mathbb{X}$ be an arbitrary p -divisible group over an algebraically closed field of characteristic p . Then $\mathcal{M}_{\text{red}}^0$ is smooth if and only if one of the following holds. Either $\dim \mathcal{M}_{\text{red}}^0 = 0$ or the isocrystal N of $\mathbb{X}_{\text{bi}}$ is simple of slope $2/5$ or $3/5$.*

Remark 5.7. The condition $\dim \mathcal{M}_{\text{red}}^0 = 0$ is equivalent to the condition that $\mathbb{X}$ is ordinary or that the isocrystal of $\mathbb{X}_{\text{bi}}$ is simple of slope $m/(m+n)$ with $\min\{m, n\} = 1$.

Once we have shown the theorem for bi-infinitesimal $\mathbb{X}$, we can treat the general case as in Section 4.5. We may thus assume that $\mathbb{X}$ is bi-infinitesimal. The results of Sections 3 and 4 imply that the connected components of $\mathcal{M}_{\text{red}}$ are irreducible if and only if N is simple. From now on we assume this. Let $m/(m+n)$ be its slope with $(m, n) = 1$. We also assume that $\text{id}_{\mathbb{X}}$ corresponds to a lattice of volume 0. We consider the following cases.

Case 1: $\min\{m, n\} = 1$.

If m or n is 1, the dimension of $\mathcal{M}_{\text{red}}^0$ is 0 and the scheme is smooth.

Case 2: $\{m, n\} = \{2, 3\}$.

Theorem 5.8. *Let $\mathbb{X}$ be bi-infinitesimal and let its rational Dieudonné module N be simple of slope $2/5$ or $3/5$. Then $\mathcal{M}_{\text{red}}^0 \cong \mathbb{P}^1$.*

Proof. We assume that $m = 2$ and $n = 3$. The case $n = 2$ and $m = 3$ is similar and thus omitted.

Let $\mathbb{P}^1 = U_0 \cup U_1$ be the standard open covering. We denote the points of $\mathbb{P}^1$ by $[a_{-1} : a_0]$. Over $U_0 \cong \text{Spec}(k[a_0])$ let

$$\begin{aligned} L_0 &= \langle e_2 + [a_0]e_3, e_5 \rangle_{W(k[a_0])} \\ T_0 &= \langle e_{-1} + [a_0]^\sigma e_0, e_1, e_3 \rangle_{W(k[a_0])} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} P_0 &= L_0 \oplus T_0 = \langle e_{-1} + [a_0]^\sigma e_0, e_1, e_2, e_3, e_5 \rangle_{W(k[a_0])} \\ Q_0 &= L_0 \oplus I_{k[a_0]}T_0. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand let P and Q be the display from the definition of f_A for the semimodule $A = \{-1, 1, 2, \dots\}$. Then $\{e_1, e_2, \dots\} \subset P$ and $\{e_4, e_5, \dots\} \subset Q$. Using this and the first steps of the recursion for the generators of P , one can see that $P = P_0$ and $Q = Q_0$. Thus P_0 and Q_0 define a display. As A is the minimal semimodule, the corresponding morphism $\mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\text{red}}^0$ is an open immersion. Over $U_1 \cong \text{Spec}(k[a_{-1}])$ let

$$\begin{aligned} L_1 &= \langle [a_{-1}]e_2 + e_3, e_4 \rangle_{W(k[a_{-1}])} \\ T_1 &= \langle [a_{-1}]^\sigma e_{-1} + e_0, e_1, e_2 \rangle_{W(k[a_{-1}])} \end{aligned}$$

and choose

$$\begin{aligned} P_1 &= L_1 \oplus T_1 = \langle [a_{-1}]^\sigma e_{-1} + e_0, e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4 \rangle_{W(k[a_{-1}])} \\ Q_1 &= L_1 \oplus I_{k[a_{-1}]}T_1. \end{aligned}$$

One easily checks that this defines a display. It is obvious that the corresponding morphism $\varphi_1 : \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\text{red}}^0$ is injective on R -valued points. As for f_A in Theorem 5.3 one can show that φ_1 is an immersion. The complement of its image consists of the image of the origin in U_0 . We can glue the morphisms corresponding to the displays over U_0 and U_1 to obtain an isomorphism $\mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\text{red}}^0$. $\square$

Case 3: $\min\{m, n\} = 2$ and $\max\{m, n\} > 3$.

We consider the case $m = 2$ and $n = 2l + 1$ with $l > 1$. The case $n = 2$ and $m = 2l + 1$ is similar and thus omitted. We have $\dim \mathcal{M}_{\text{red}}^0 = l$. Let $M \subset N$ be the Dieudonné lattice generated by e_{-l+2} and e_{l-1} . Then this lattice corresponds to the k -valued point $x = f_{A_{l-2}}(0) \in \mathcal{M}_{A_{l-2}}(k)$ where A_{l-2} is as in the proof of Proposition 5.5.

Proposition 5.9. *The dimension of the tangent space of $\mathcal{M}_{\text{red}}^0$ in x is at least $l + 1$.*

Proof. For $(a_0, \dots, a_{l-1}, b_0, b_1) \in k^{l+2}$ consider the following submodules of $N_{k[\varepsilon]}$ where $k[\varepsilon] \cong k[t]/(t^2)$. Let

$$(5.16) \quad v_1 = e_{l+3} + [\varepsilon]([a_0]e_{l+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} [a_i]e_{l+2i})$$

and

$$(5.17) \quad v_2 = e_{3l} + [\varepsilon b_0]e_{l+1} + [\varepsilon b_1]e_{l+2}.$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} L &= \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle_{W(k[\varepsilon])} \\ T &= \langle e_{-l+2}, e_{-l+4}, \dots, e_{3l-2}, e_{l-1}, e_{l+1} \rangle_{W(k[\varepsilon])}, \end{aligned}$$

then

$$(5.18) \quad P = L \oplus T = M \otimes_{W(k)} W(k[\varepsilon])$$

$$(5.19) \quad Q = L \oplus I_{k[\varepsilon]}T.$$

As $\sigma(\varepsilon) = 0$, this defines a display. For $i_0 \in \{l+1, l+2, l+4, \dots, 3l-2\}$ there is no element of Q of the form $\sum_{i \geq i_0} [\delta_i] e_i$ with $\delta_i \in k[\varepsilon]$ and $\delta_{i_0} \neq 0$. This implies that the display leads to an $l+2$ -dimensional subspace of the tangent space of $\mathcal{M}$ at x . We now have to construct an $l+1$ -dimensional subspace that lies in the tangent space of $\mathcal{M}_{\text{red}}$. For $a_0, a_1 \neq 0$ let

$$(5.20) \quad v_1 = [ta_0]e_{l+1} + [ta_1]e_{l+2} + e_{l+3} + \sum_{i=2}^{l-1} [ta_i]e_{l+2i} \in N_{k((t))}.$$

Let further

$$\begin{aligned} L_1 &= \langle e_{3l+2}, v_1 \rangle_{W(k((t)))} \\ T_1 &= \langle V^{-1}v_1, FV^{-1}v_1, \dots, F^{2l}V^{-1}v_1 \rangle_{W(k((t)))} \\ P_1 &= L_1 \oplus T_1 \\ Q_1 &= L_1 \oplus I_{k((t))}T_1. \end{aligned}$$

As $a_0 \neq 0$, there is an element of P_1 with first index i for all $i \geq l$ and of Q_1 for all $i \geq 3l+1$. Using this one can easily see that $F(P_1) \subseteq P_1$ and that $V^{-1}(Q_1)$ generates P_1 . Thus P_1 and Q_1 define a display over $k((t))$, and a $k((t))$ -valued point of $\mathcal{M}_{\text{red}}^0$. As $\mathcal{M}_{\text{red}}^0$ is projective this point is induced by a $k[[t]]$ -valued point. Its display is $(P_1 \cap N_{k[[t]]}, Q_1 \cap N_{k[[t]]}, F, V^{-1})$. We want to show that the special point corresponds to x . The element $V^{-1}v_1$ of $P_1 \cap N_{k[[t]]}$ reduces to $V^{-1}(e_{l+3}) = e_{-l+2}$ modulo (t) . To show that M is contained in the reduction of $P_1 \cap N_{k[[t]]}$ modulo (t) , it remains to see that e_{l-1} is contained in this reduction. For all $i \geq 3l+1$ the vector e_i is in Q_1 . We consider the following element of Q_1 modulo the lattice generated by these elements e_i .

$$\begin{aligned} (F^{l-1} - [(ta_0)^{\sigma^{l-1}}]F^{l-2})v_1 &\equiv [t^{\sigma^{l-1}}] \left(-[a_0^{\sigma^{l-1}}(ta_0)^{\sigma^{l-2}}]e_{3l-3} - [a_0^{\sigma^{l-1}}(ta_1)^{\sigma^{l-2}}]e_{3l-2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + ([a_1^{\sigma^{l-1}}] - [a_0^{\sigma^{l-1}}(ta_2)^{\sigma^{l-2}}])e_{3l} \right) \\ &= [t^{\sigma^{l-1}}]v \end{aligned}$$

for some $v \in Q_1 \cap N_{k[[t]]}$. The reduction of v modulo (t) is $[a_1^{\sigma^{l-1}}]e_{3l}$. Thus $e_{l-1} = V^{-1}(e_{3l})$ is contained in the lattice at the special point. Hence the special point of this $k[[t]]$ -valued point is x . If $l > 2$, the reduction of v modulo (t^2) is $[a_1^{\sigma^{l-1}}]e_{3l}$. Hence e_{3l} is in the projection of $Q_1 \cap N_{k[[t]]}$ to $N_{k[\varepsilon]}$. If $l = 2$, the reduction of $([a_1^{\sigma^{l-1}}] - [a_0^{\sigma^{l-1}}(ta_2)^{\sigma^{l-2}}])^{-1}v$ modulo (t^2) is equal to v_2 as in (5.17) with $b_0 = -a_0^\sigma a_0 / a_1^\sigma$ and $b_1 = -a_0^\sigma a_1 / a_1^\sigma$. Comparing the image of $Q_1 \cap N_{k[[t]]}$ under the projection to $N_{k[\varepsilon]}$ to the definition of Q in (5.19) we see that the tangent vector of this $k[[t]]$ -valued point at x corresponds to the tangent vector $(a_0, \dots, a_{l-1}, 0, 0) \in k^{l+2}$ if $l > 2$ and to

$$(a_0, a_1, \frac{-a_0^\sigma a_0}{a_1^\sigma}, \frac{-a_0^\sigma a_1}{a_1^\sigma})$$

if $l = 2$.

For $b_0 \neq 0$ let

$$v_2 = [tb_0]e_{l+1} + e_{3l}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} L_2 &= \langle e_{3l+2}, v_2 \rangle_{W(k((t)))} \\ T_2 &= \langle V^{-1}v_2, FV^{-1}v_2, \dots, F^{2l}V^{-1}v_2 \rangle_{W(k((t)))} \\ P_2 &= L_2 \oplus T_2 \\ Q_2 &= L_2 \oplus I_{k((t))}T_2. \end{aligned}$$

The same reasoning as above shows that this defines a display over $k((t))$. To show that it leads to a $k[[t]]$ -valued point of $\mathcal{M}_{\text{red}}$ with special point x , we have to check that e_{l-1}

and e_{-l+2} are in the lattice at the special point. The reduction of $V^{-1}v_2 = [tb_0]^\sigma e_{-l} + e_{l-1}$ modulo (t) is e_{l-1} . Besides, $Fv_2 - e_{3l+2} = [t^\sigma b_0^\sigma]e_{l+3} \in Q_2$, hence $e_{l+3} \in Q_2 \cap N_{k[[t]]}$. As $e_{-l+2} = V^{-1}e_{l+3}$, the lattice M is contained in the reduction of P_2 modulo (t) . The fact that $e_{l+3} \in Q_2 \cap N_{k[[t]]}$ also shows that the tangent vector of this $k[[t]]$ -valued point in x corresponds to $(0, \dots, 0, b_0, 0) \in k^{l+2}$. Thus we constructed elements of the tangent space of $\mathcal{M}_{\text{red}}$ in x which generate an $l+1$ -dimensional subspace. $\square$

Case 4: $\min\{m, n\} > 2$

In this case Theorem 5.4 and Proposition 5.5 show that

$$\dim H^2(\mathcal{M}_{\text{red}}^0, \mathbb{Q}_l) \neq \dim H^{2 \dim \mathcal{M}_{\text{red}}^0 - 2}(\mathcal{M}_{\text{red}}^0, \mathbb{Q}_l).$$

Hence $\mathcal{M}_{\text{red}}^0$ does not satisfy Poincaré duality and it cannot be smooth. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [F] L. Fargues, *Cohomologie des espaces de modules de groupes p -divisibles et correspondances de Langlands locales*, in Variétés de Shimura, espaces de Rapoport-Zink et correspondances de Langlands locales, Astérisque **291** (2004), 1–199.
- [GHKR] U. Görtz, Th. Haines, R. Kottwitz, D. Reuman, *Dimensions of some affine Deligne-Lusztig varieties*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. **39** (2006), 467–511.
- [JO] A. J. de Jong, F. Oort, *Purity of the stratification by Newton polygons*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **13** (2000), 209–241.
- [Ma] E. Mantovan, *On certain unitary group Shimura varieties*, in Variétés de Shimura, espaces de Rapoport-Zink et correspondances de Langlands locales, Astérisque **291** (2004), 201–331.
- [Me] W. Messing, *The crystals associated to Barsotti-Tate groups: with applications to abelian schemes*, Lecture Notes in Math. **264**, Springer, 1972.
- [O1] F. Oort, *Newton polygon strata in the moduli space of abelian varieties* in Moduli of abelian varieties (Texel Island, 1999), 417–440, Progr. Math., **195**, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2001.
- [O2] F. Oort, *Minimal p -divisible groups*, Ann. of Math. (2) **161** (2005), 1021–1036.
- [O3] F. Oort, *Foliations in moduli spaces of abelian varieties*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **17** (2004), no. 2, 267–296.
- [OZ] F. Oort, Th. Zink, *Families of p -divisible groups with constant Newton polygon*, Documenta Math. **7** (2002), 183–201.
- [Ra] M. Rapoport, *A guide to the reduction modulo p of Shimura varieties*, Astérisque **298** (2005), 271–318.
- [RZ] M. Rapoport, Th. Zink, *Period spaces for p -divisible groups*, Princeton Univ. Press, 1996.
- [Vi] E. Viehmann, *The dimension of some affine Deligne-Lusztig varieties*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. **39** (2006), 513–526.
- [Vo] I. Vollaard, *The supersingular locus of the Shimura variety of $GU(1, s)$* , preprint, 2005, math.AG/0509067.
- [Z] Th. Zink, *The display of a formal p -divisible group*, in Cohomologies p -adiques et applications arithmétiques, I, Astérisque **278** (2002), 127–248.

MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT DER UNIVERSITÄT BONN, BERINGSTRASSE 1, 53115 BONN, GERMANY